

The Influence of Total Quality Management on the Development of Intellectual Capital

BOULAHLIB Loutfi ¹ *

¹ International Islamic University Malaysia (Malaysia) ,
lotfiblb2030@gmail.com

Received: 10/07/2024

Accepted: 10/12/2024

Published: 22/12/2024

Abstract:

Total Quality Management (TQM) and the development of intellectual capital go hand-in-hand in organizations. TQM enables continuous improvement and customer satisfaction, which are essential for success in a knowledge-driven economy. TQM intersects with knowledge management, creating, utilizing, and preserving intellectual capital. Examples of TQM success stories include Toyota, General Electric, Tesla. Intellectual capital fuels innovation, adaptability, and strategic decision-making, providing a sustainable competitive advantage. The challenges in implementing TQM for intellectual capital include resistance to change and resource constraints. However, there are strategies available to overcome these challenges and maximize knowledge assets. TQM plays a critical role in enhancing intellectual capital and offers recommendations for organizations seeking to optimize their knowledge assets.

Key words: Total Quality Management (TQM); Intellectual Capital; Knowledge Assets; Knowledge Management.

JEL Classification: M12; L25; O34.

1. Introduction:

Total Quality Management (TQM) is recognised as a crucial approach for organisations aiming to improve their efficiency, productivity, and customer satisfaction (Ahire, Golhar & Waller, 1996). It is a comprehensive management philosophy rooted in principles of continuous improvement and employee involvement, focusing on integrating all organisational functions to deliver high-quality products and services. TQM emphasises the importance of quality in processes and outputs, as well as fostering a culture of learning and innovation (Lim, Nair & Foo, 2019).

One key aspect of the connection between TQM and knowledge assets lies in the acknowledgement of knowledge as a strategic organisational asset (Marchiori & Mendes, 2020). In today's information age, organisations recognise knowledge as a valuable resource and seek ways to leverage their intellectual capital for competitive advantage. TQM provides a framework that encourages knowledge creation and dissemination, fostering an environment conducive to learning and innovation (Juran, 1988).

Moreover, TQM's emphasis on employee involvement and empowerment plays a pivotal role in developing knowledge assets within organisations (Juran, 1988). Empowering employees to participate in decision-making processes actively enhances the organisation's knowledge base, as employees become more engaged in problem-solving and contribute to a continuous cycle of learning and improvement.

The relationship between TQM and knowledge assets is further strengthened by the integration of quality management practices with knowledge management initiatives (Garvin, 1991). Effective knowledge management is crucial for successful TQM initiatives, as organisations must capture, share, and apply knowledge across various functions (Garvin, 1991).

In the digital era, TQM's role in developing knowledge assets extends to the effective utilisation of data and information (Matthews & Harris, 2006). Technological advancements such as big data analytics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning are becoming integral components of TQM strategies, enabling organisations to extract valuable insights from their operations (Tariq, Poulin & Abonamah, 2021).

In summary, the synergy between Total Quality Management and knowledge assets in organisations is a multifaceted and dynamic relationship. TQM's principles of continuous improvement, employee

empowerment, and integration of quality and knowledge management practices position it as a valuable catalyst for organisational development (Bukari et al., 2023). The evolving landscape of business practices reinforces the significance of this relationship in enhancing organisational knowledge assets.

2. Literature Review:

2-1 Total Quality Management (TQM): An Overview:

Total Quality Management (TQM) is a comprehensive management approach aimed at enhancing the quality of products and services through continuous improvement of organisational processes. Rooted in the belief that quality should be central to all aspects of an organisation, TQM emphasises the active involvement of all employees in pursuing excellence (Aole & Gorantiwar, 2013). One of its core principles is customer focus, which recognises the importance of understanding and meeting customer expectations to build loyalty and reputation in the market.

Continuous improvement is another fundamental aspect of TQM, emphasising the need for ongoing refinement of processes and systems within the organisation. The Deming Cycle, or Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle, is a well-known methodology within TQM for achieving continuous improvement by planning, implementing changes, monitoring results, and adjusting approaches based on feedback. Total employee involvement is also critical, with every member of the organisation encouraged to participate in decision-making, contribute ideas, and take ownership of the quality of their work (Agrawal, 2020).

To implement TQM effectively, organisations often adopt various quality management tools and techniques, such as statistical process control, benchmarking, and quality function deployment (Ngambi & Nkemkiafu, 2015). These tools enable organisations to monitor and control processes effectively, ensuring they operate within established quality parameters.

In the modern business landscape, TQM remains relevant as organisations adapt to changing market dynamics and customer expectations. It provides a framework for creating a culture of continuous improvement, fostering innovation, and adaptability (Oakland, 2011). Overall, TQM continues to guide organisations in their pursuit of excellence, contributing to their long-term success in a competitive global marketplace.

2-2 Intellectual Capital (IC) Concepts:

Intellectual capital Intellectual Capital (IC) refers to intangible assets within an organisation, such as discoveries, organisational practices, and human resources, that are valued for their expected rights and benefits (Brooking, Board & Jones, 1998; Lev, Canibano & Marr, 2012). These assets are divided into visible assets like concessions and industrial property rights, as well as hidden assets, notably IC, which lacks accounting recognition due to regulatory gaps (Ruiz et al., 2011).

IC encompasses a firm's total knowledge, providing it with a competitive advantage in the market (Wang, Wang & Liang, 2014). It includes relationships with customers and partners, innovation efforts, infrastructure, and the collective knowledge and skills of organisational members (Pandey & Dutta, 2013).

IC enables the conversion of tangible assets and resources into valuable assets, thereby enhancing competitive performance (Sepúlveda-Rivillas et al., 2022). While IC significantly influences organisational performance (Barkat, W., & Beh, L. S., 2018; Subramaniam & Youndt, 2005), its mere possession does not guarantee sustainable competitive advantage; effective utilisation and integration throughout the organisation are essential (Barkat, 2018).

IC consists of human capital, structural capital, and relational capital (Saleem, Hussain & Yasin, 2022). Human capital pertains to employees' individual capacities, knowledge, skills, and experience, while structural capital encompasses organisational capabilities, including systems, routines, procedures, cultures, and databases. Relational capital involves resources derived from internal and external stakeholder relationships, incorporating human and structural capital in business interactions (Hejazi, Ghanbari & Alipour, 2016; Urban & Joubert, 2017).

Recent studies highlight the interconnectedness of IC elements with Knowledge Management and Digital Capabilities (KMDC), emphasising their positive impact on organisational performance. Effective management of IC components can serve as a source of competitive advantage, adding value to the organisation (Barkat and Beh, 2018).

Intellectual capital refers to intangible resources within organisations that hold value and have the potential to generate future benefits (Sarjana et al., 2017). This concept has gained increasing attention in recent literature, covering a wide range of knowledge assets (Serenko & Bontis, 2012). In a

knowledge-driven economy, intellectual capital is crucial for predicting a firm's ability to continuously enhance its worth (Wang et al., 2021). It encompasses various intangible assets such as human expertise, skills, competencies, and relationships with customers, all contributing to a company's performance and value creation (Madhani, 2012).

Scholars have identified intellectual capital as a multi-dimensional concept, often categorised into human, structural, and relational components (Ali et al., 2021). While some studies focus on measuring these dimensions, others emphasise the importance of renewal capital, which includes resources related to organisational growth and long-term research and development (Rehman et al., 2022; Ullah et al., 2023).

The importance of intellectual capital in influencing firm performance is widely recognised (Asiaei et al., 2020). In contrast, some researchers find a positive correlation between intellectual capital and financial performance or competitive advantage (Asiaei et al., 2020; Khalique et al., 2019; Rehman et al., 2022). Additionally, intellectual capital is acknowledged as a vital resource for driving innovation within organisations (Wendra et al., 2019). Researchers have highlighted the role of human, relational, and structural capital in fostering innovation across various sectors (Chahal & Bakshi, 2015), including efforts such as green innovation in manufacturing firms (Rehman et al., 2022). The Resource-Based View (RBV) theory is often used to explain how intangible resources, including intellectual capital, contribute to a firm's dynamic capabilities and, consequently, its competitive advantage (Barney, 2015; Singh & Rao, 2016).

Overall, intellectual capital is a vital asset for organisations, offering them a competitive edge and fostering enduring business worth. Consisting of human, structural, and relational components, effective management of these facets is essential for generating and sustaining value. Through the intellectual capital management process, organisations can efficiently identify, assess, and utilise their resources, aligning them with strategic goals to realise desired outcomes and satisfy stakeholders. In a constantly changing business environment, prioritising intellectual capital management and investing in personnel, infrastructure, and relationships are critical for organisations to thrive and prosper.

2-2-1 Significance of Intellectual Capital:

Intellectual capital is of paramount importance for businesses, representing a critical asset that reflects the true value and potential for growth of an

enterprise. It encompasses various intangible elements such as employee skills, organisational culture, reputation, relationships, and operational processes (Kamukama, 2013).

A robust intellectual capital base offers numerous advantages to a business. Firstly, it empowers the workforce to innovate, problem-solve, and execute tasks efficiently, thereby driving productivity and fostering continuous improvement (Bontis, 1998). Secondly, strong relationships with stakeholders provide access to essential resources, financial support, and collaboration opportunities, which are crucial for sustaining operations and fuelling growth (Kaplan & Norton, 2004). Finally, a positive reputation built on trust, integrity, and customer satisfaction enhances brand visibility, fosters customer loyalty, and ultimately contributes to revenue generation and market expansion (Jackson, 2004).

Various studies underscore the significance of intellectual capital in organisational success. Chao-Chin and Huang (2020) argue that prioritising intellectual capital management fosters innovation and creativity within organisations. Stewart (2007) emphasises that intellectual capital is a hidden intangible asset linked to knowledge that provides future benefits and competitive advantage. Katamine and Alatawneh (2022) suggest that well-informed employees help organisations navigate change and maintain competitiveness, while Wang, Wang and Liang (2014) found that investing in employee skills enhances intellectual capital and innovation capacity.

Strategic management of intellectual capital yields tangible benefits for business performance and competitiveness (Edvinsson & Malon, 1997; Subramanian & Van de Vrande, 2019). Intellectual capital fosters a culture of continuous learning and knowledge-sharing, enhancing innovation potential (Chang, Liao & Wu, 2017).

The competitive advantage derived from intellectual capital aligns with the resource-based view (Barney, Ketchen & Wright, 2011; Teece, 2018), emphasising its uniqueness and difficulty to imitate. Intellectual capital also facilitates adaptability to change, enabling organisations to navigate dynamic environments (Ritala et al., 2023).

Effective intellectual capital management supports informed decision-making, contributing to innovation, competitive advantage, value creation, employee productivity and retention, brand reputation, risk management, adaptability, learning culture, and collaboration within organisations (Alavi & Leidner, 2001).

Overall, intellectual capital serves as a cornerstone for business success, enabling organisations to leverage their internal capabilities, external networks, and reputation to drive innovation, profitability, and long-term value creation.

2-3 TQM and Knowledge Management: Optimising Intellectual Capital:

Total Quality Management (TQM) and Knowledge Management (KM) are two essential organisational paradigms that have garnered significant attention in business and management literature. This paper aims to delve into the intersection between TQM and knowledge management, highlighting the synergies that can be achieved by aligning TQM practices with the explicit focus on the creation, sharing, and utilisation of intellectual capital.

TQM, a management philosophy that originated in the manufacturing sector, emphasises the continuous improvement of processes, products, and services to meet or exceed customer expectations. Scholars such as Deming (2018) and Juran (1988) have laid out the foundational principles of TQM, including customer focus, employee involvement, and continuous improvement. These principles have been widely adopted across industries as a means to enhance overall organisational performance.

On the other hand, knowledge management involves the systematic process of capturing, organising, and leveraging an organisation's intellectual capital to gain a competitive advantage (Dalkir, 2005). KM recognises the importance of information and knowledge as strategic assets that contribute to innovation, problem-solving, and decision-making.

The intersection between TQM and KM becomes apparent when considering the role of intellectual capital in achieving and sustaining total quality. TQM practices can be tailored to explicitly address knowledge creation, sharing, and utilisation within an organisation. For instance, embedding knowledge-sharing mechanisms in the continuous improvement processes of TQM ensures that lessons learned and best practices are disseminated across the organisation (Gupta, Sharma & Hsu, 2004; Ribiere & Khorramshahgol, 2004).

Furthermore, TQM's emphasis on employee involvement aligns with KM's recognition of the crucial role employees play in knowledge creation and sharing (Ooi, 2013). Employee empowerment and involvement in

decision-making processes within a TQM framework contribute to a culture that values and encourages the exchange of tacit and explicit knowledge.

To illustrate the practical implementation of these ideas, organisations can integrate knowledge management systems into their TQM initiatives. This includes deploying technology platforms that facilitate the capture and dissemination of knowledge, creating communities of practice to encourage knowledge-sharing among employees, and implementing training programmes that enhance employees' knowledge and skills.

To sum up, the intersection between TQM and knowledge management offers a holistic approach to organisational excellence. By integrating TQM practices with a specific focus on knowledge creation, sharing, and utilisation, organisations can enhance their capacity for innovation, problem-solving, and overall performance. This alignment not only supports the principles of TQM but also leverages knowledge as a strategic asset for sustained competitive advantage in today's dynamic business environment.

3. The Link Between TQM And Intellectual Capital:

Total Quality Management (TQM) has gained significant attention in business and organisational management due to its emphasis on continuous improvement and customer satisfaction. TQM is a comprehensive approach that involves the entire organisation in a relentless pursuit of quality in all aspects of operations. The link between TQM and Intellectual Capital (IC) represents a crucial dimension in understanding how organisations can leverage their intangible assets for sustainable competitive advantage.

One key aspect of this link is the recognition that intellectual capital, which includes human capital, structural capital, and relational capital, plays a pivotal role in the successful implementation of TQM principles. Nneka, Anthony and Ann (2016) argue that organisations must recognise and manage their intellectual capital effectively to enhance their overall performance. The human capital component, comprising the skills, knowledge, and expertise of employees, is particularly relevant in the context of TQM as it underscores the importance of employee involvement and empowerment in quality improvement initiatives (Prajogo & Sohal, 2003).

Furthermore, TQM practices contribute to the development and accumulation of intellectual capital within an organisation. Continuous improvement processes, employee training programmes, and knowledge-

sharing mechanisms inherent in TQM foster the creation and enhancement of intellectual capital. As argued by Sveiby (1997), the ability to capture and utilise knowledge is a critical factor for organisational success, and TQM provides a structured framework for knowledge creation and dissemination.

The relationship between TQM and intellectual capital is bidirectional. On one hand, effective TQM implementation enhances intellectual capital through the cultivation of a learning culture and the development of innovative processes (Ahire, Golhar, & Waller, 1996). On the other hand, a rich intellectual capital base facilitates the adoption and sustainability of TQM practices by providing the necessary intellectual resources for problem-solving, decision-making, and adaptability in the face of change (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2023).

Overall, the link between TQM and intellectual capital is crucial for organisations aspiring to achieve and sustain excellence in their operations. Recognising and managing intellectual capital not only supports the successful implementation of TQM but also enhances an organisation's overall competitiveness and resilience. This symbiotic relationship underscores the need for organisations to integrate TQM principles with strategies for nurturing and leveraging their intellectual capital.

3-1 Fostering a Quality Culture: TQM's Impact on Knowledge-Sharing and Intellectual Capital:

Creating a culture of quality within an organisation is essential for its long-term success, and Total Quality Management (TQM) plays a crucial role in cultivating such an environment. TQM emphasises continuous improvement and employee involvement to meet or exceed customer expectations in all aspects of operations. One significant aspect of a quality-centric culture is its impact on knowledge-sharing among employees, which contributes to the development of intellectual capital within the organisation.

Hoang and Igel (2019) demonstrated that TQM practices positively influence knowledge-sharing among employees, leading to enhanced organisational performance. Similarly, Alimohammadlou and Eslamloo (2016) found that TQM principles foster a culture of quality and collaboration in healthcare settings, resulting in increased knowledge-sharing among professionals and improved patient outcomes. Additionally, Saffar and Obeidat (2020) showed that TQM practices significantly influence both knowledge-sharing and intellectual capital in Chinese manufacturing firms.

A quality-centric culture, as advocated by TQM, encourages collective responsibility for quality and creates an environment where employees feel empowered to share their knowledge and insights. This culture promotes open communication and collaboration, allowing organisations to tap into the intellectual capital of their workforce. Employees, motivated by a shared commitment to quality, become more willing to share their expertise, experiences, and innovative ideas, thus contributing to the organisation's intellectual capital pool.

Furthermore, Powell, Lovallo, and Fox (2011) highlight how a quality-centric culture motivates employees to contribute to organisational knowledge by instilling a sense of pride and ownership regarding the quality of their work. This pride, coupled with a supportive environment, fosters a willingness to contribute to the collective knowledge repository, thereby strengthening the organisation's intellectual capital base.

Overall, fostering a quality culture through TQM practices is instrumental in promoting knowledge-sharing and developing intellectual capital within organisations. By encouraging continuous learning, collaboration, and innovation, TQM enables organisations to leverage their collective knowledge for sustainable competitive advantage in today's dynamic business.

3-2 Empowerment and Involvement Employees to Enrich Organizational Intellectual Capital:

Empowering and involving employees to enrich organisational intellectual capital is a vital aspect of organisational success. Total Quality Management (TQM) is a management approach that emphasises continuous improvement and customer satisfaction through the involvement of all employees. TQM plays a significant role in encouraging employee participation and empowerment, which in turn leads to the generation and sharing of knowledge within the organisation.

Recent studies have highlighted the relationship between TQM practices and employee participation, empowerment, and knowledge-sharing:

1. ***Employee Participation:*** TQM encourages employee participation by involving them in decision-making processes, problem-solving, and quality improvement initiatives (Cavallone & Palumbo, 2022). A study by Abbasi, Aqeel and Awan (2012) examined the impact of TQM practices on employee participation in Pakistani

manufacturing firms. The findings revealed that TQM practices such as teamwork, employee involvement in decision-making, and continuous improvement significantly influenced employee participation. By involving employees in quality-related activities, TQM creates a sense of ownership and responsibility, leading to increased engagement and knowledge-sharing.

2. **Employee Empowerment:** TQM empowers employees by providing them with the authority, resources, and support to take ownership of their work processes and make decisions. Sweis et al. (2013) investigated the relationship between TQM practices and employee empowerment in Jordanian healthcare organisations. The results showed that TQM practices, including training, communication, and recognition, positively influenced employee empowerment. Empowered employees are more likely to share their knowledge and expertise with their colleagues, contributing to the enrichment of organisational intellectual capital.
3. **Knowledge-Sharing:** TQM fosters a culture of continuous learning and improvement, which encourages knowledge-sharing among employees. Ooi et al. (2012) explored the relationship between TQM practices and knowledge-sharing as perceived by middle management employees in Malaysia's ISO 9001:2000 certified firms of manufacturing sectors. The findings indicated that TQM practices such as employee involvement, training, and communication significantly influenced knowledge-sharing behaviours among employees. By providing employees with the necessary tools and support to share their knowledge and ideas, TQM enables organisations to leverage their intellectual capital for innovation and competitive advantage.

To sum up, TQM encourages employee participation and empowerment, leading to the generation and sharing of knowledge within the organisation. By involving employees in decision-making processes, empowering them to take ownership of their work, and fostering a culture of continuous learning and improvement, TQM creates an environment where organisational intellectual capital can flourish.

3-3 Customer Focus and Its Effect on Intellectual Capital:

Customer focus is a core principle of Total Quality Management (TQM), which emphasises understanding and meeting customer needs to ensure

satisfaction and loyalty. TQM's emphasis on customer focus leads to the creation of knowledge about market preferences and trends, thus significantly contributing to intellectual capital within organisations.

Recent studies have explored how TQM's customer focus influences the creation of knowledge about market preferences and trends:

1. ***Understanding Customer Needs:*** TQM encourages organisations to systematically gather information about customer needs through various means such as surveys, feedback mechanisms, and market research. A study by Terziovski (2006) investigated the strength of the relationship between TQM practice and customer satisfaction. The findings demonstrated that multiple quality management practices when implemented simultaneously have a significant effect on customer satisfaction. By understanding customer needs, organisations can generate valuable knowledge about market preferences and trends, thereby enriching their intellectual capital.
2. ***Meeting Customer Expectations:*** TQM emphasises the importance of consistently meeting or exceeding customer expectations through the delivery of high-quality products and services. Research by Mosadeghrad and Ferlie (2020) examined the relationship between TQM practices and innovation in Iranian hospitals. The findings revealed that TQM practices, including customer focus, positively influenced innovation. By consistently meeting customer expectations, organisations can gain insights into emerging market trends and preferences, contributing to the development of intellectual capital.
3. ***Continuous Improvement:*** TQM fosters a culture of continuous improvement, encouraging organisations to adapt and innovate in response to changing customer needs and market dynamics. Yusr (2016) explored the role existing knowledge plays in the relationship between TQM practices and innovation performance. The results indicated that innovation capability mediates the relationship between TQM practices and innovation performance. By leveraging knowledge about market preferences and trends, organisations can drive innovation and enhance their intellectual capital.

In summary, TQM's emphasis on understanding and meeting customer needs contributes to the creation of knowledge about market preferences and trends, thus enriching intellectual capital within organisations. By systematically gathering information about customer

needs, meeting expectations, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement, TQM enables organisations to leverage their understanding of the market to drive business success.

4. Case Studies:

4-1 Successful Implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) in Enhancing Intellectual Capital:

Implementing Total Quality Management (TQM) is a strategic move for organisations seeking to improve overall performance and competitiveness. This section focuses on presenting case studies of organisations that have successfully integrated TQM principles with knowledge management to enhance their intellectual capital. These cases serve as practical examples to illustrate the benefits of such integration.

Case Study 1: Toyota Motor Corporation

This case study highlights the Toyota Motor Corporation's exemplary implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) practices, emphasising its significant impact on the company's intellectual capital. TQM, deeply ingrained in Toyota's corporate culture, encompasses continuous improvement, customer satisfaction, and employee involvement. Through practices like Kaizen (continuous improvement), Just in TIME (JIT) production, and Jidoka (autonomation), Toyota optimises processes, minimises waste, and ensures quality.

This commitment to TQM fosters a culture of learning and knowledge-sharing among employees, enhancing intellectual capital. Therefore, Toyota's integration of TQM with knowledge management has led to innovations like the Toyota Production System (TPS) (Ichijo & Kohlbacher, 2008; Marksberry, 2012). The relationship between TQM and intellectual capital at Toyota is multifaceted:

- a) ***Knowledge-Sharing and Learning:*** TQM encourages employees to share insights and problem-solving approaches, enriching the organisation's intellectual capital.
- b) ***Employee Involvement and Skill Development:*** TQM's emphasis on employee involvement enhances skills and knowledge, contributing further to intellectual capital.
- c) ***Innovation and Process Patents:*** TQM practices spur innovations, leading to the creation of intellectual property such as patents, which

adds to Toyota's intellectual capital.

- d) ***Brand Reputation and Customer Loyalty:*** Consistent TQM application results in high-quality products, enhancing brand reputation and customer loyalty, both vital components of intellectual capital.

In essence, TQM practices at Toyota bolster intellectual capital by promoting a culture of continuous improvement, innovation, and knowledge-sharing, enabling the company to maintain its industry leadership.

Case Study 2: General Electric (GE)

General Electric's (GE) successful implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) practices, particularly its commitment to Six Sigma and integration with knowledge management, and its impact on intellectual capital (McCarthy & Aronson, 2004).

1. ***Six Sigma Commitment:*** GE's adoption of Six Sigma, a TQM methodology, has significantly improved quality and efficiency across its business units. This commitment to quality has positively impacted intellectual capital by ensuring accuracy, reliability, and informed decision-making. (McCarthy & Aronson, 2004).
2. ***Integration of TQM and Knowledge Management:*** GE's success lies in integrating TQM with knowledge management, facilitating the capture and dissemination of best practices. This alignment creates a dynamic learning environment conducive to enhancing intellectual capital (Slack, Chambers, & Johnston, 2016).
3. ***"Work-Out" Initiative:*** GE's "Work-Out" initiative, rooted in TQM principles, encouraged open communication and idea sharing among employees. This collaborative approach significantly contributed to the organisation's intellectual capital by empowering employees to share expertise (Eckes, 2002; De Mast, 2006).
4. ***Impact on Intellectual Capital and Innovation:*** The combined efforts of TQM practices and knowledge management at GE have resulted in increased intellectual capital and innovation. This has led to more informed decision-making, enhanced efficiency, and a culture of continuous improvement within the organisation.

Overall, GE's effective integration of TQM practices with knowledge

management has fostered a culture of learning and collaboration, ultimately contributing to the growth of intellectual capital within the organisation.

Case Study 3: Tesla.

Total Quality Management (TQM) practices at Tesla contribute significantly to its intellectual capital, fostering innovation, knowledge-sharing, and strategic partnerships. TQM practices align with Tesla's mission and vision, enhancing its organisational culture and competitiveness in the global market. Therefore, Impact of TQM Practice at Tesla on Intellectual Capital (Karamitsios, 2013; Moritz, 2015; Riani, Asya & Yuwono, 2023; Shahriari et al., 2023; Pauline, 2023):

1. ***Innovation and Problem-Solving Culture:*** Tesla's TQM practices foster an innovative problem-solving culture, encouraging employees to develop solutions to market challenges. This culture contributes to the generation of intellectual capital by leveraging employee expertise and creativity.
2. ***Strategic Partnerships and Knowledge-Sharing:*** Tesla's open-source philosophy towards knowledge management facilitates strategic partnerships with major automakers and electronics manufacturers. These partnerships enhance Tesla's intellectual capital by pooling resources, expertise, and technology, thereby accelerating innovation and growth.
3. ***Talent Management and Development:*** Tesla's talent management practices, including an extensive hiring process and internal executive promotions, contribute to its intellectual capital by ensuring a skilled workforce capable of driving innovation and achieving organisational goals.
4. ***Organisational Culture and Employee Engagement:*** TQM practices at Tesla shape its organisational culture towards embracing social responsibilities and fostering employee engagement. This culture nurtures intellectual capital by aligning employee values with organisational objectives and encouraging collaboration and innovation.
5. ***Leadership Style and Communication:*** Elon Musk's leadership style, emphasising transformational leadership and open communication, supports the development and utilisation of intellectual capital at Tesla. By empowering employees, promoting

innovation, and facilitating effective communication, Musk enhances Tesla's intellectual capital and competitiveness.

Overall, Tesla's TQM practices play a crucial role in cultivating intellectual capital, driving innovation, and maintaining competitiveness in the dynamic business environment.

5. Challenges and Considerations

There are various challenges encountered by organisations when implementing Total Quality Management (TQM) to enhance intellectual capital. These challenges encompass (Choy & Suk, 2005; Ooi, 2014; Gaur & Gupta, 2023):

1. *Resistance to Change:* Employees may resist TQM due to disruptions in traditional processes. To mitigate this, strategies such as involving employees in decision-making, providing adequate training and support, and communicating the benefits of TQM are essential.
2. *Cultural Shift:* Embracing TQM necessitates a cultural transformation towards continuous improvement, teamwork, and customer-centricity, which might clash with existing organisational norms. Effective leadership plays a vital role in fostering a culture conducive to TQM practices.
3. *Resource Constraints:* Adequate allocation of resources, including time and budget for training, technology, and process enhancements, is critical. Organisations must prioritise and strategically allocate resources to support TQM initiatives.
4. *Measurement Challenges:* Evaluating and demonstrating the return on investment (ROI) of TQM initiatives for intellectual capital development poses difficulties. Establishing key performance indicators (KPIs) that align with organisational objectives is crucial for effective measurement.

In essence, successful implementation of TQM for intellectual capital development necessitates addressing challenges like resistance to change, cultural shift, resource constraints, and measurement difficulties through change management strategies, fostering a TQM-friendly culture, strategic resource allocation, and establishment of effective KPIs.

6. Conclusion:

The Influence of Total Quality Management on the Development of Intellectual Capital

Total Quality Management (TQM) practices play a pivotal role in developing knowledge assets within organisations. The synergy between TQM and knowledge assets is multifaceted and dynamic, encompassing various dimensions such as continuous improvement, employee empowerment, integration of quality and knowledge management practices, and utilisation of technological advancements.

TQM serves as a catalyst for fostering a culture of continuous learning and improvement, wherein employees are encouraged and empowered to actively participate in decision-making processes, contribute to problem-solving, and share their expertise. This culture of continuous improvement not only enhances organisational efficiency and productivity but also enriches intellectual capital by cultivating a pool of tacit and explicit knowledge.

Furthermore, TQM practices facilitate the integration of quality management with knowledge management initiatives, recognising knowledge as a strategic organisational asset. By deploying mechanisms for capturing, sharing, and applying knowledge across various functions, organisations can leverage their intellectual capital for competitive advantage and sustained success in today's dynamic business landscape.

Moreover, successful case studies such as those of Toyota, General Electric, and Tesla illustrate how effective implementation of TQM principles enhances intellectual capital through fostering innovation, knowledge-sharing, strategic partnerships, talent development, and organisational culture transformation.

Despite challenges such as resistance to change, cultural shift, resource constraints, and measurement difficulties, organisations can overcome these obstacles through strategic change management, fostering a TQM-friendly culture, allocating resources strategically, and establishing effective key performance indicators.

In essence, the role of TQM practice in developing knowledge assets in organisations is indispensable. By embracing TQM principles and aligning them with knowledge management strategies, organisations can enhance their capacity for innovation, problem-solving, and overall performance, thereby ensuring their competitiveness and resilience in the ever-evolving business landscape.

7- Bibliography:

- Abbasi, A. S., Aqeel, A. M. B., & Awan, A. N. (2012). The Effectiveness of Leadership, Performance and Employee Involvement for Producing Competitive Advantage with a TQM Orientation: A Conceptual Framework. *Mediterranean journal of social sciences*, 3(4), 83-90.
- Agrawal, N. (2020). A framework for Crosby's quality principles using ISM and MICMAC approaches. *The TQM Journal*, 32(2), 305-330.
- Ahire, S. L., Golhar, D. Y., & Waller, M. A. (1996). Development and validation of TQM implementation constructs. *Decision sciences*, 27(1), 23-56.
- Ahire, S. L., Golhar, D. Y., & Waller, M. A. (1996). Development and validation of TQM implementation constructs. *Decision sciences*, 27(1), 23-56.
- Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (2001). Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS quarterly Journal*, 107-136.
- Ali, M. A., Hussin, N., Haddad, H., Alkhodary, D., & Marei, A. (2021). Dynamic capabilities and their impact on intellectual capital and innovation performance. *Sustainability*, 13(18), 10028.
- Alimohammadlou, M., & Eslamloo, F. (2016). Relationship between Total Quality Management, knowledge Transfer and knowledge Diffusion in the academic settings. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 230, 104-111.
- Aole, R. M., & Gorantiwar, V. (2013). Quality gurus: Philosophy and teachings. *International journal of research in aeronautical and mechanical engineering*, 1(8), 46-52.
- Asiaei, K., Barani, O., Bontis, N., & Arabahmadi, M. (2020). Unpacking the black box: how intrapreneurship intervenes in the intellectual capital-performance relationship?. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 21(6), 809-834.
- Barkat, W. (2018). Intellectual capital and organisational performance: The textile industry in Pakistan/Waseem Barkat (Doctoral dissertation, University of Malaya).
- Barkat, W., & Beh, L. S. (2018). Impact of intellectual capital on organizational performance: evidence from a developing country. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 17(2), 1-8.
- Barkat, W., & Beh, L. S. (2018). Impact of intellectual capital on organizational performance: evidence from a developing country. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 17(2), 1-8.
- Barney, J. (2015). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. In *International Business Strategy* (pp. 283-301). Routledge.
- Barney, J. B., Ketchen Jr, D. J., & Wright, M. (2011). The future of resource-based theory: revitalization or decline?. *Journal of management*, 37(5), 1299-1315.
- Bontis, N. (1998). Intellectual capital: an exploratory study that develops measures and models. *Management decision*, 36(2), 63-76.
- Brooking, A., Board, P., & Jones, S. (1998). The predictive potential of intellectual capital. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 16(1-3), 115-125.
- Bukari, C., Kheni, N., Boateng, I., Owusu-Ansah, C. and Gyenfie, P. (2023). Integrating Knowledge Management Processes with Total Quality Management Principles in the Construction Industry: Meta Review, Gap Analysis and Scientometric Analysis. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 16, 501-525.

The Influence of Total Quality Management on the Development of Intellectual Capital

- Cavallone, M., & Palumbo, R. (2022). Delving into the soft side of TQM: an analysis of the implications of employee involvement on management practices. *The TQM Journal*, 34(5), 1096-1115.
- Chahal, H., & Bakshi, P. (2015). Examining intellectual capital and competitive advantage relationship: Role of innovation and organizational learning. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 33(3), 376-399.
- Chang, W. J., Liao, S. H., & Wu, T. T. (2017). Relationships among organizational culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation capability: a case of the automobile industry in Taiwan. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 15(3), 471-490.
- Chao-Chin, H., & Huang, S. M. (2020). External and internal capabilities and organizational performance: Does intellectual capital matter?. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 25(2), 111-120.
- Choy, C. S., & Suk, C. Y. (2005). Critical factors in the successful implementation of knowledge management. *Journal of knowledge management practice*, 6(1), 234-258.
- Dalkir, K. (2005). *The knowledge management cycle. Knowledge management in theory and practice.* Oxford: Elsevier, 25-46.
- De Mast, J. (2006). Six Sigma and competitive advantage. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 17(04), 455-464.
- Deming, W. E. (2018). *Out of the Crisis*, reissue. MIT press.
- Eckes, G. (2002). *The Six Sigma revolution: How General Electric and others turned process into profits.* John Wiley & Sons.
- Edvinsson, L., & Malone, M. (1997). *Intellectual capital: Realizing your company's true value by finding its hidden brainpower.* New York: HarperBusiness.
- Garvin, D. A. (1998). *The processes of organization and management.* MIT Sloan Management Review.
- Gaur, D., & Gupta, K. (2023). Intellectual capital and non-performing assets: the role of knowledge assets in improving credit quality of Indian banking sector. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 15(3), 379-402.
- Gupta, J. D., Sharma, S. K., & Hsu, J. (2004). An overview of knowledge management. *Creating knowledge-based organizations*, 1-28.
- Hejazi, R., Ghanbari, M., & Alipour, M. (2016). Intellectual, human and structural capital effects on firm performance as measured by Tobin's Q. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 23(4), 259-273.
- Hoang, D. T., & Igel, B. (2019). The impact of total quality management on knowledge sharing: The mediating role of trust and learning culture. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 23(3), 513-534.
- Ichijo, K., & Kohlbacher, F. (2008). Tapping tacit local knowledge in emerging markets—the Toyota way. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 6, 173-186.
- Jackson, K. T. (2004). *Building reputational capital: Strategies for integrity and fair play that improve the bottom line.* Oxford University Press.
- Juran, J. (1988). *Quality Control Handbook.* New York: McGraw Hill.
- Juran, Joseph M. "Juran on planning for quality." (No Title) (1988).
- Kamukama, N. (2013). Intellectual capital: company's invisible source of competitive advantage. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 23(3), 260-283.

- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2004). *Strategy maps: Converting intangible assets into tangible outcomes*. Harvard Business Press.
- Karamitsios, A. (2013). Open innovation in EVs: A case study of Tesla Motors.
- Katamine, S. A., & Alatawneh, N. N. (2022). A Conceptual Framework on the Associations among Knowledge Sharing Antecedents and Innovation Performance. *Journal of Social Sciences (COES&RJ-JSS)*, 11(1), 19-40.
- Khalique, M., Ramayah, T., Shah, M. T. A., & Iqbal, Z. (2019). Intellectual capital and financial performance of banks in Sialkot Pakistan. *Journal of management sciences*, 6(1), 50-61.
- Lev, B., Canibano, L., & Marr, B. (2012). An accounting perspective on intellectual capital. In *Perspectives on intellectual capital* (pp. 42-55). Routledge.
- Lim, A. F., Nair, R. K., & Foo, P. Y. (2019). TQM and organisational innovation: a systematic review and research framework. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, 26(3), 273-300.
- Madhani, P. M. (2012). Intangible assets: Value drivers for competitive advantage. In *Best practices in management accounting* (pp. 146-165). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Marchiori, D., & Mendes, L. (2020). Knowledge management and total quality management: foundations, intellectual structures, insights regarding evolution of the literature. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 31(9-10), 1135-1169.
- Marksberry, P. (2012). *The modern theory of the Toyota production system: a systems inquiry of the world's most emulated and profitable management system*. CRC Press.
- Martínez-Martínez, A., Cegarra-Navarro, J. G., & Garcia-Perez, A. (Eds.). (2023). *Knowledge Management, Organisational Learning and Sustainability in Tourism*. Taylor & Francis.
- Matthews, K., & Harris, H. (2006). Maintaining knowledge assets. In *Engineering Asset Management: Proceedings of the 1st World Congress on Engineering Asset Management (WCEAM) 11-14 July 2006* (pp. 618-626). Springer London.
- McCarthy, R., & Aronson, J. (2004). Knowledge management at General Electric: A technology transfer case study. *AMCIS 2004 Proceedings*, 263.
- McCarthy, R., & Aronson, J. (2004). Knowledge management at General Electric: A technology transfer case study. *AMCIS 2004 Proceedings*, 263.
- Moritz, M., Redlich, T., Krenz, P., Buxbaum-Conradi, S., & Wulfsberg, J. P. (2015, August). Tesla Motors, Inc.: Pioneer towards a new strategic approach in the automobile industry along the open source movement?. In *2015 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (picmet)* (pp. 85-92). IEEE.
- Ngambi, M. T., & Nkemkiafu, A. G. (2015). The Impact of Total Quality Management on Firm's Organizational Performance. *American Journal of Management*, 15(4).
- Nneka, M., Anthony, K. A., & Ann, O. (2016). Effective management of intellectual capital and organizational performance on selected manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences*, 3(11), 22-32.
- Oakland, J. (2011). Leadership and policy deployment: the backbone of TQM. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 22(5), 517-534.

The Influence of Total Quality Management on the Development of Intellectual Capital

- Ooi, K. B. (2013). *Total quality management and knowledge management in Malaysian manufacturing and service firms: a structural equation modeling approach/Ooi Keng Boon* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Malaya).
- Ooi, K. B. (2014). TQM: A facilitator to enhance knowledge management? A structural analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(11), 5167-5179.
- Ooi, K. B., Cheah, W. C., Lin, B., & Teh, P. L. (2012). TQM practices and knowledge sharing: An empirical study of Malaysia's manufacturing organizations. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 29, 59-78.
- Pandey, S. C., & Dutta, A. (2013). Role of knowledge infrastructure capabilities in knowledge management. *Journal of knowledge management*, 17(3), 435-453.
- Pauline, M. (SEPTEMBER 6th, 2023). Tesla's Organizational Culture & Its Characteristics. *Panmore Institute*, accessed 17th February 2024, <https://panmore.com/tesla-motors-inc-organizational-culture-characteristics-analysis>
- Powell, T. C., Lovallo, D., & Fox, C. R. (2011). Behavioral strategy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 32(13), 1369-1386.
- Prajogo, D. I., & Sohal, A. S. (2003). The relationship between TQM practices, quality performance, and innovation performance: An empirical examination. *International journal of quality & reliability management*, 20(8), 901-918.
- Rehman, S. U., Bresciani, S., Ashfaq, K., & Alam, G. M. (2022). Intellectual capital, knowledge management and competitive advantage: a resource orchestration perspective. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 26(7), 1705-1731.
- Riani, A., Asya, V. R., & Yuwono, F. S. P. (2023). Literature study of the effect of corporate culture on work motivation and employee performance. *American Journal of Economic and Management Business (AJEMB)*, 2(3), 89-93.
- Ribiere, V. M., & Khorramshahgol, R. (2004). Integrating total quality management and knowledge management. *Journal of Management Systems*, 16(1), 39-54.
- Ritala, P., Kianto, A., Vanhala, M., & Hussinki, H. (2023). To protect or not to protect? Renewal capital, knowledge protection and innovation performance. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 27(11), 1-24.
- Ruiz, V. R. L., Peña, D. N., Navarro, J. L. A., Badea, L., Grigorescu, A., & Voinea, L. (2011). Measurement of national non-visible wealth through intellectual capital. *Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting*, 14(3), 200-213.
- Saffar, N. A. G. A., & Obeidat, A. (2020). The effect of total quality management practices on employee performance: The moderating role of knowledge sharing. *Management Science Letters*, 10(1), 77-90.
- Saleem, F., Hussain, S., & Yasin, G. (2022). The Impact of Human Capital, Structural Capital and Relational Capital on Corporate Performance in Pakistan. *Discourse* (2521-5337), 8(1).
- Sarjana, S., Khayati, N., Warini, L., & Praswiyati, P. (2017). Strengthening of Intellectual Capital Dimension. *JDM (Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen)*, 8(2), 216-232.
- Sepúlveda-Rivillas, C. I., Restrepo-Ramírez, C. G., & Jaramillo, J. D. V. (2022). Intellectual Capital and Knowledge Management Dynamic Capabilities: The role of Knowledge Intensity. *Cuadernos de Administración (Universidad del Valle)*, 38(72).

- Serenko, A., & Bontis, N. (2012). The intellectual core and impact of the knowledge management academic. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 17(1),137-155.
- Shahriari, M., Tajmir Riahi, M., Azizan, O., & Rasti-Barzoki, M. (2023). The effect of green organizational culture on organizational commitment: The mediating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 33(2), 180-197.
- Singh, B., & Rao, M. K. (2016). Effect of intellectual capital on dynamic capabilities. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 29(2), 129-149.
- Slack, N., Brandon-Jones, A., & Johnston, R. (2016). *Operations Management*. Harlow (UK): Pearson.
- Stewart, T. A. (2007). *The wealth of knowledge: Intellectual capital and the twenty-first century organization*. Currency.
- Subramaniam, M., & Youndt, M. A. (2005). The influence of intellectual capital on the types of innovative capabilities. *Academy of Management journal*, 48(3), 450-463.
- Subramanian, A. M., & van de Vrande, V. (2019). The role of intellectual capital in new product development: Can it become a liability?. *Journal of Operations Management*, 65(6), 517-535.
- Sveiby, K. E. (1997). The intangible assets monitor. *Journal of Human Resource Costing & Accounting*, 2(1), 73-97.
- Sweis, R. J., Al-Mansour, A., Tarawneh, M., & Al-Dweik, G. (2013). The impact of total quality management practices on employee empowerment in the healthcare sector in Saudi Arabia: a study of King Khalid Hospital. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, 12(3), 271-286.
- Tariq, M. U., Poulin, M., & Abonamah, A. A. (2021). Achieving operational excellence through artificial intelligence: Driving forces and barriers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 686624.
- Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long range planning*, 51(1), 40-49.
- Terziovski, M. (2006). Quality management practices and their relationship with customer satisfaction and productivity improvement. *Management Research News*, 29(7), 414-424.
- Ullah, A., Pingle, C., Ullah, S., Qian, N., & Zaman, M. (2023). Impact of intellectual capital efficiency on financial stability in banks: Insights from an emerging economy. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 28(2), 1858-1871.
- Urban, B., & Joubert, G. C. D. S. (2017). Drivers of intellectual capital and organisational performance: a focus on human capital, structural capital and relational capital. *Journal of Contemporary Management*, 14(1), 1243-1272.
- Wang, Z., Cai, S., Liang, H., Wang, N., & Xiang, E. (2021). Intellectual capital and firm performance: the mediating role of innovation speed and quality. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 32(6), 1222-1250.
- Wang, Z., Wang, N., & Liang, H. (2014). Knowledge sharing, intellectual capital and firm performance. *Management decision*, 52(2), 230-258.
- Wendra, W., Sule, E. T., Joeliaty, J., & Azis, Y. (2019). Exploring dynamic capabilities, intellectual capital and innovation performance relationship: evidence from the garment manufacturing. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 20, 123-136.
- Yusr, M. M. (2016). Innovation capability and its role in enhancing the relationship between TQM practices and innovation performance. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 2(1), 1-15.