Full Name : Dr. Manel BRAHMI / Prof. Asma NESBA

Title : Cross-Disciplinary Mentorship: Leveraging TEFL Training Models to Support GTAs Across Multi-Language Departments

Abstract:

In an era of declining enrollments, reduced funding, and skepticism toward the humanities, post-secondary language programs must find creative ways to maintain instructional excellence. A critical factor in student retention and program vitality is the pedagogical preparation of Graduate Teaching Assistants (GTAs). However, while TEFL programs often possess robust, research-based training infrastructures, smaller programs in Languages Other Than English (LOTE) frequently lack the scale or resources to provide equivalent, specialized pedagogical mentorship.

This paper proposes a transformational leadership model: Cross-Disciplinary Mentorship. By leveraging the systematic teacher-training protocols established in TEFL, such as reflective practice cycles, task-based lesson planning, and peer-observation frameworks, departments can create a centralized mentorship hub that serves all

language instructors. This model shifts the focus from language-specific silos to universal pedagogical excellence, grounded in sociocultural theory and the concept of communities of practice.

The session will outline a framework for Universal Language Pedagogy Mentoring, where TEFL expertise is utilized to anchor the professional development of GTAs across diverse language sections (e.g., French, German, Arabic). This approach not only optimizes administrative resources and addresses funding losses but also fosters a vibrant, inclusive community of educators.

Participants will gain practical insights into:

Designing shared ‘Methods’ seminars that bridge TEFL and LOTE pedagogies.

Implementing cross-linguistic peer-mentoring programs.

Advocating for centralized pedagogical leadership as a solution to departmental fragmentation.

By breaking down traditional barriers, this model offers a resilient, imaginative response to the uncertain times facing higher education,

ensuring that all language programs, regardless of size, are supported by high-quality, research-informed instruction.

Summary:

This proposal proposes a cross-disciplinary mentorship model that leverages TEFL training protocols to support GTAs in multi-language departments. By centralizing pedagogical mentorship, programs can improve instructional quality and administrative efficiency, offering a creative leadership solution to the challenges of funding and enrollment in modern post-secondary language education.

Introduction

Why TEFL?

- The Reality of Resource Density: TEFL programs often possess dedicated pedagogical researchers and systematic training.
- The LOTE Reality: Smaller programs (French, German, Arabic) often rely on one professor to handle Literature, Culture, and Pedagogy.
- The Solution: Exporting TEFL’s 'Pedagogical Infrastructure' to serve the whole department.

Theoretical Grounding: The Universal & The Specific

- Pedagogical Content Knowledge (Shulman, 1986):

The Universal Hub: Scaffolding, TBLT, Assessment, Motivation.

The Language-Specific Spoke: Morphosyntax, Script, Cultural Pragmatics.

- Communities of Practice (Wenger, 1998): Learning as social participation across linguistic boundaries.
- Reflective Practice (Wallace, 1991): Systematic cycles of growth.

The "Hub and Spoke" Model

- The Hub (Centralized Seminar): TEFL-led training in SLA theory, technology, and multiliteracies.
- The Spoke (Language-Specific Practicum): LOTE-led sessions on language-specific linguistic/cultural pedagogical needs.
- Administrative Gain: Eliminates duplication of efforts; ensures all GTAs receive high-level theoretical training regardless of their program’s size.

Cross-Linguistic Peer Observation

1) The Pedagogical Mirror: A Spanish GTA observes a TEFL class; a TEFL GTA observes a Japanese class.
2) Focus: Instructional mechanics over linguistic content.
3) The Goal: Building a professional identity as a Language Educator rather than just a native speaker.
4) Buy-in Strategy: Validating the pedagogical expertise of all instructors.

Mentorship as Program Advocacy

Action Research Circles: Monthly meetings to discuss classroom data.

Evidence-Based Advocacy: Proving the effectiveness of language programs to Deans/Provosts.

Survival Strategy: Small programs 'Opt-In' to a robust training network to avoid being cut due to ‘lack of resources’

Scalability: A Continuum of Care

Institutional Flexibility

Large Programs: Retain their own methods course but join 'Interdisciplinary Masterclasses.‘

Small Programs: Utilize the Hub for core theoretical training.

Vulnerable Programs: Receive full mentorship support from the TEFL resource hub.

Result

No program is left without a pedagogical home.

Conclusion

Leading with Synergy