

Does Innovation Drive Renewable Energy in Arab Countries? Insights from Method of Moments Quantile Regression

Lotfi Mekhzoumi¹[0000-0002-3752-7178], Okba Abdellaoui²[0000-0001-5367-4912] and Issam Djouadi³[0000-0001-9460-1330]

¹University of El Oued, Algeria
mekhzoumi-lotfi@univ-eloued.dz

²University of El Oued, Algeria
okbabde@gmail.com

³National Higher School in Statistics and Applied Economics, Algeria
issam0djouadi@gmail.com

Abstract. This study investigates the impact of innovation on renewable energy adoption in 11 Arab countries over the period 2007–2023, addressing a critical gap in the literature regarding the so-called innovation paradox in resource-rich economies. Utilizing the Method of Moments Quantile Regression (MMQR), the analysis captures heterogeneous effects across the distribution of renewable energy consumption. The findings reveal a counterintuitive negative association between the Global Innovation Index and renewable energy uptake in middle and upper quantiles, providing empirical evidence for the resource curse hypothesis: innovation capacity in hydrocarbon-rich Arab states is often channeled toward fossil fuel sectors rather than clean energy. In contrast, human development emerges as the most robust and consistent driver of renewable energy deployment, while oil rents positively influence renewable energy across all quantiles, indicating successful rent-recycling in some cases. Financial development, measured by domestic credit, shows a persistent negative effect, reflecting sectoral biases in credit allocation. Government effectiveness only becomes significant at higher quantiles, highlighting the threshold role of institutional quality as renewable energy systems mature. These results underscore the need for targeted policy interventions that realign innovation incentives, strengthen human development, and enhance institutional quality to overcome structural lock-ins and accelerate the energy transition in Arab countries.

Keywords: Innovation paradox; Renewable energy; Arab countries; Method of Moments Quantile Regression; Resource curse; Human development.

1 Introduction

The global energy landscape is undergoing unprecedented transformation as nations worldwide grapple with the imperative to decarbonize their economies while maintaining sustainable economic growth. Innovation has emerged as a critical catalyst in

this transition, with technological advancement, human capital development, and resource efficiency serving as endogenous factors that drive renewable energy adoption and facilitate long-term sustainable development (Vurur et al., 2024). The relationship between innovation and renewable energy deployment has become particularly salient as countries seek to balance economic development objectives with environmental sustainability commitments under frameworks such as the Paris Agreement and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (Danish & Isaifan, 2024). Research consistently demonstrates that innovation encompasses not only technological breakthroughs in photovoltaic materials, energy storage systems, and smart grid technologies, but also business model redesigns, financing mechanisms, and policy frameworks that collectively reduce costs, enhance system flexibility, and stimulate renewable energy uptake across diverse geographies (Adebayo et al., 2022; Y. Jiang et al., 2023).

However, the innovation-renewable energy nexus exhibits remarkable complexity and regional heterogeneity, particularly in resource-rich developing economies where abundant fossil fuel endowments create unique structural dynamics. Recent empirical evidence suggests that the relationship between innovation and renewable energy adoption is not universally positive, with several studies documenting counterintuitive findings where elevated innovation indices paradoxically correlate with slower renewable energy transitions (Radulescu et al., 2022). This phenomenon appears particularly pronounced in hydrocarbon-dependent economies, where the resource curse hypothesis provides theoretical grounding for understanding how abundant natural resource wealth can systematically divert innovation efforts toward optimizing fossil fuel extraction and processing rather than promoting clean energy technologies (Bigerna et al., 2024; Almutairi & Alhamed, 2025). Such structural lock-in effects, characterized by entrenched fossil fuel infrastructures that absorb innovation inputs, create path-dependent processes that reinforce conventional energy systems even as countries achieve higher overall innovation rankings (Al-Ismail et al., 2023).

Arab countries present a compelling empirical context for examining these complex innovation-renewable energy dynamics due to their unique combination of substantial hydrocarbon wealth, ambitious renewable energy commitments, and evolving policy landscapes. The region encompasses economies with varying degrees of oil dependence, from heavily hydrocarbon-reliant Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) states to more diversified North African economies, providing valuable variation for understanding how resource endowments interact with innovation systems to shape renewable energy outcomes (Rastegar et al., 2024). Recent strategic initiatives, particularly Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 commitment to generate 50% of energy from renewable sources and deploy 58.7 GW of renewable capacity, alongside similar ambitious targets in the UAE, Qatar, and other Arab states, represent fundamental shifts in regional energy policy that create natural experiments for analyzing innovation's role in facilitating or hindering energy transitions (Mohammed et al., 2024). Despite possessing some of the world's most abundant solar and wind resources, Arab countries have historically experienced slower renewable energy adoption compared to other regions, primarily due to abundant local fossil fuel reserves, historically low energy prices, and established energy infrastructures (Miao et al., 2024).

The empirical literature examining innovation's impact on renewable energy in Arab countries remains limited and characterized by significant methodological and substantive gaps. While extensive research documents renewable energy determinants globally, comprehensive quantile regression analyses specifically focused on Arab economies are notably scarce, with most existing studies employing conditional mean techniques that obscure important distributional heterogeneity (Bigerna et al., 2024). Previous studies have predominantly utilized ordinary least squares methods with fixed effects, which provide limited in-sights into how innovation and other determinants may affect renewable energy adoption differently across countries at various stages of renewable energy development (Ali et al., 2021; Almutairi & Alhamed, 2025). Furthermore, the existing literature lacks adequate attention to the innovation paradox in resource-rich contexts, with few studies providing empirical evidence for theoretical predictions about how resource curse effects influence innovation allocation between traditional and clean energy sectors (Sun et al., 2024). The methodological limitations of previous research are particularly problematic given the substantial heterogeneity within the Arab region, where countries exhibit vastly different economic structures, development levels, and renewable energy penetration rates that likely generate heterogeneous relationships between innovation and clean energy adoption.

This study addresses these critical research gaps by employing the MMQR approach to examine the heterogeneous impacts of innovation on renewable energy consumption across 11 Arab countries from 2007 to 2023. The MMQR methodology, introduced by Machado and Silva (2019), represents a significant advancement in panel quantile regression techniques, offering superior handling of high-dimensional fixed effects while avoiding the incidental parameters problem that plagues traditional quantile regression approaches. This methodological innovation enables examination of distributional effects rather than merely average relationships, providing nuanced insights into how innovation, human development, government effectiveness, financial development, and other determinants affect renewable energy adoption across different quantiles of the renewable energy distribution (Elmonshid et al., 2024). By analyzing effects across quantiles ranging from the 10th to 90th percentiles, this study can identify threshold effects and heterogeneous relationships that would be masked by conventional mean regression techniques, offering policy-relevant insights for countries at different stages of renewable energy development.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides a comprehensive literature review examining theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence on innovation-renewable energy relationships. Section 3 describes our econometric methodology, with particular emphasis on the MMQR approach and its advantages for analyzing distributional effects in panel data contexts. Section 4 presents our empirical results, including diagnostic tests, quantile regression estimates, and robustness checks. Section 5 discusses the policy implications of our findings and their contributions to the broader literature on energy transitions in resource-rich economies. Section 6 concludes with recommendations for future research directions and policy interventions to overcome the innovation paradox identified in Arab countries.

2 Literature Review

The relationship between innovation and renewable energy has emerged as a critical area of research in the context of global climate change mitigation and sustainable development. Innovation serves as a fundamental driver for renewable energy adoption, technological advancement, and market penetration, while renewable energy deployment creates new opportunities for further innovation (Rastegar et al., 2024).

Endogenous growth theory provides a foundational framework for understanding how innovation drives renewable energy development through technological advancement and knowledge accumulation (Ogunsola & Zwane, 2025). This theoretical approach emphasizes that technological innovation, human capital, and resource efficiency are endogenous factors that sustain long-term economic growth while facilitating energy transitions (Ogunsola & Zwane, 2025; Peng et al., 2023). The theory particularly illuminates how renewable energy consumption, technological innovation, and export diversification create positive feedback loops that enhance economic expansion in emerging economies (Alhashim et al., 2024). Research applying this framework to energy transitions reveals that countries following endogenous growth trajectories can achieve significant reductions in fossil fuel consumption while maintaining economic development (Peng et al., 2023).

The diffusion of innovations theory provides critical insights into how renewable energy technologies spread across different adopter categories and social systems (Wanless et al., 2023). This framework explains the process by which renewable energy innovations move from initial development through widespread adoption, influenced by factors such as relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability (Bridi & Alhosani, 2021). Studies applying this theory to renewable energy markets demonstrate that adoption patterns vary significantly across different technologies, with solar energy showing faster diffusion rates compared to wind energy in certain contexts (Wanless et al., 2023). The theory's emphasis on communication channels and social networks proves particularly relevant for understanding how renewable energy innovations gain acceptance within communities and organizations (Van Der Waal et al., 2018). Research utilizing diffusion theory reveals that government sponsorship and policy incentives play crucial roles in accelerating the adoption of non-drastic renewable energy innovations (Abbruzzese et al., 2025).

The innovation paradox theory offers important clues about why innovation may not always facilitate renewable energy adoption, particularly in resource-rich economies (Wei et al., 2023; Najam, 2023). This framework explains how elevated innovation indices in fossil fuel-dependent countries can actually hinder renewable energy development due to path dependency and sectoral misallocation of innovative resources. Research demonstrates that abundant hydrocarbon wealth systematically diverts innovation efforts toward optimizing fossil fuel extraction rather than promoting clean energy technologies (Najam, 2023). The resource curse hypothesis extends this analysis by showing how oil rents and established energy infrastructures create structural lock-in effects that absorb innovation inputs (Wei et al., 2023; Najam, 2023). Studies applying this theoretical lens reveal that countries with high Global Innovation Index scores may paradoxically experience slower renewable energy tran-

sitions when innovation is concentrated in traditional energy sectors (Najam, 2023). This framework helps explain why technological advancement does not automatically translate into renewable energy gains in resource-rich regions (Wei et al., 2023).

Innovation systems theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how institutional arrangements, knowledge networks, and policy environments shape renewable energy innovation outcomes (Dzwigol et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 2025). This approach emphasizes that successful renewable energy development requires well-functioning national and sectoral innovation systems that facilitate knowledge creation, diffusion, and application. Research applying this framework reveals that renewable energy enterprises benefit from integrated networks involving knowledge sub-systems, R&D member networks, and supportive policy environments (H. Sun et al., 2024). The theory's focus on system-level interactions helps explain why renewable energy innovation performance varies significantly across countries with different institutional configurations. Studies demonstrate that innovation systems with strong environmental regulations and transparent stakeholder engagement mechanisms achieve superior renewable energy outcomes (Dzwigol et al., 2023). The framework also illuminates how digital technologies and reconfiguration capabilities within innovation systems can enhance renewable energy sector productivity (Jiang et al., 2025).

Schumpeter's creative destruction theory offers insights into how renewable energy innovations disrupt existing energy systems and create new market structures. This framework explains how renewable energy technologies destroy established fossil fuel industries while creating new economic opportunities and employment patterns (Urban & Heydenrych, 2015; Girón, 2025). Research applying this theory to renewable energy transitions reveals how state intervention, institutional finance, and corporate governance drive structural changes in productive systems (Girón, 2025). The creative destruction perspective helps explain why renewable energy adoption requires fundamental transformation rather than incremental change in energy systems. Studies demonstrate that effectuation processes and technology orientation in renewable energy sectors embody the essence of creative destruction through experimentation, flexibility, and strategic alliance formation (Urban & Heydenrych, 2015). The framework also illuminates how China's energy transition represents creative destruction at a global scale, combining technological innovation with institutional transformation (Girón, 2025).

The transition from fossil-based to renewable energy systems has been profoundly shaped by innovations that encompass technological breakthroughs, business model redesigns, financing mechanisms, and policy frameworks. At the heart of this transition lies the capacity of novel technologies—ranging from advanced photovoltaic materials and high-performance batteries to artificial intelligence-enabled smart grids—to drive down costs, enhance system flexibility, and stimulate uptake across scales and geographies (Khan & Su, 2023; Muñoz et al., 2024). Concurrently, the emergence of innovative business models, such as prosumer-centered platforms and community energy cooperatives, has altered value propositions and revenue streams for both incumbents and new entrants, facilitating more inclusive and decentralized energy markets (Braunholtz-Speight et al., 2020). Financial innovations—including

green bonds, crowdfunding, and dynamic feed-in tariffs—have expanded capital flows into renewable installations, alleviating dependence on traditional lenders and mitigating investment risk (Strielkowski, 2024). Moreover, policy interventions, such as R&D subsidies and auction-based procurement, have signaled governmental commitment, mobilized private investment, and guided innovation trajectories toward sustainability objectives (Khan & Su, 2023). Beyond isolated measures, the interplay among spatial spillovers, sector coupling, and circular-economy synergies underscores the systems-level nature of innovation impacts, whereby advances in one region or sector propagate benefits through knowledge diffusion, infrastructure integration, and collaborative governance (Noseleit, 2018).

The intersection of innovation and renewable energy deployment in Arab nations is deeply intertwined with their historical reliance on hydrocarbons, evolving legal frameworks, and emerging environmental imperatives (Alouani & Driouchi, 2014; Nicolini & Porcheri, 2014; Olawuyi, 2022). Recent scholarship emphasizes that, despite vast solar and wind resources, policy inertia and traditional subsidy regimes have impeded technology diffusion until very recently (Nicolini & Porcheri, 2014; Olawuyi, 2022). Moreover, the global energy transition has compelled Gulf states to reorient national visions toward green growth, integrating innovation targets into Vision 2030 plans (Olawuyi, 2022). The presence of significant fossil fuel revenues has provided capital for large-scale pilot projects, but without corresponding advancements in local R&D, uptake remains limited (Alouani & Driouchi, 2014; Nicolini & Porcheri, 2014). Therefore, a comprehensive grasp of the institutional and economic drivers is essential for steering Arab countries toward an innovation-led renewable energy paradigm.

3 Methodology and Data

This study looks at data from 11 Arabic countries (Algeria, Jordan, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, Egypt, Kuwait, Morocco) from 2007 to 2023. Table 1 shows the dependent and independent variables, along with their sources.

Table 1. Description of variables

Abbreviation	Variable name	Source
TRE	Total renewable energy	International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA)
GII	Global Innovation Index	World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
PT	Total patent applications	
GDPpc	GDP per capita	World Bank
EU	Energy use	
HDI	Human Development Index	UN Development Programme
OR	Oil rents	World Bank

DC	Domestic credit to private sector
FDI	Foreign direct investment, net inflows
GE	Government Effectiveness

We apply the following model to our empirical investigation:

$$TRE_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 GII_{it} + \beta_2 PT_{it} + \beta_3 GDPpc_{it} + \beta_4 EU_{it} + \beta_5 HDI_{it} + \beta_6 OR_{it} + \beta_7 DC_{it} + \beta_8 FDI_{it} + \beta_9 GE_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

The traditional approach to panel data analysis, Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) with fixed effects, has been widely used due to its simplicity and computational efficiency. This method effectively controls for time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity but is limited to estimating effects on the conditional mean. In contrast, quantile regression methods for panel data aim to provide a more comprehensive view of the relationship between variables across different quantiles of the conditional distribution. Quantile regression has become an essential tool in econometrics, allowing researchers to examine how covariates affect the entire distribution of the dependent variable, not just its mean. However, applying quantile regression to panel data, particularly in the presence of fixed effects, has posed significant challenges. A generalization of quantile regression data generating function can be written as follows:

$$y_i = \beta_0(\tau) + \beta_1(\tau)X_{i1} + \beta_2(\tau)X_{i2} + \dots + \beta_k(\tau)X_{ik} \quad (2)$$

Base on this specification, few characteristics should be considered:

- slopes $\beta_k(\tau)$ vary across quantiles only if the model is heteroskedastic;
- quantile regression can be considered as a semi-parametric-varying coefficient model, with unobserved running variable (τ);
- coefficients are percentile specific;
- it is possible to separate location effects (mean) from scale effect (deviation from the mean).

Quantile regressions are nonlinear models. Thus, one cannot just add dummies to address group fixed effects:

$$Q_\tau(y|x) = \beta_0(\tau) + \beta_1 X(\tau) + \sum \delta(\tau)_g \quad (3)$$

This creates an incidental parameter problem. Neither $\delta(\tau)$'s nor β 's would be consistently estimated. Koenker (2004) proposed the penalized quantile regression method as one of the first attempts to handle quantile regression in panel data. This method incorporates fixed effects via a penalty term, allowing for quantile effects estimation while controlling for unobserved heterogeneity. However, it can suffer from the incidental parameter problem when the number of fixed effects is large relative to the sample size. Koenker (2004) assumes fixed effects only have an impact on location and shrink individual effects:

$$y_i = \beta(\tau)X + \delta_g \quad (4)$$

Canay (2011) proposed a two-step approach that first estimates fixed effects using a conditional mean model and then performs quantile regression on the demeaned data. This method is intuitive and relatively simple to implement but may introduce bias, particularly when the effects of covariates vary substantially across quantiles.

$$y = \beta X + \delta_g \quad (5)$$

$$Q_\tau(y - \delta_g | X) = \beta(\tau) \quad (6)$$

The MM-QR approach, introduced by Machado and Silva (2019), represents a significant advancement in this field. MM-QR transforms the quantile regression problem into a set of moment conditions, allowing for efficient estimation even with high-dimensional fixed effects. This method avoids the incidental parameters problem and is computationally efficient for large datasets, addressing key limitations of previous approaches. This method was developed to incorporate individual fixed effects. In principle, it is an extension of a strategy to estimate quantile regression coefficients using a restricted location-scale model, assuming the following structure:

$$y_i = X_i \beta + \varepsilon X_i \gamma \quad (7)$$

Thus, Quantile regression model is given by:

$$Q_\tau(y | X) = X(\beta + F_\varepsilon^{-1}(\tau)\gamma) = X\beta(\tau) \quad (8)$$

OLS with fixed effects remains valuable for its simplicity and when the focus is on average effects. Traditional quantile regression or Koenker's penalized approach may be preferred when the number of fixed effects is moderate. Canay's two-step method offers a straightforward alternative when the assumption of location shift (i.e., fixed effects affect all quantiles equally) is plausible. However, for researchers dealing with large panel datasets and interested in distributional effects, MM-QR offers significant advantages. Its ability to handle high-dimensional fixed effects efficiently, coupled with its robustness to outliers and non-normal errors, makes it a powerful tool in modern econometric analysis (Machado & Silva, 2019).

We investigate the impact of innovation on renewable energy in a panel of 11 Arab countries, utilizing the MM-QR approach for panel fixed effects. We use the MM-QR approach to project the distribution of conditional economic growth, which allows us to consider the possibility of heterogeneity. The model is as follows:

$$TRE_{it} = \alpha_i + X'_{it}\beta + (\delta_i + Z'_{it}\gamma)U_{it} \quad (9)$$

Where $P\{\delta_i + Z'_{it}\gamma > 0\} = 1$. Individual i fixed effects are denoted by (α_i, δ_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and Z is a k -vector of transformations of the elements of X with probability 1 that are known to be differentiable. Model (09) could have the following expansions:

$$Q_{TRE}(\tau | X_{it}) = (\alpha_i + \delta_i q(\tau)) + Z'_{it}\gamma q(\tau) \quad (10)$$

X_{it} denotes the independent variables. Conditional on the location of explanatory variables, the response variable TRE has a quantile distribution denoted by

$Q_{TRE}(\tau|X_{it})$. We define the τ th quantile ($0 < \tau < 1$) of the conditional distribution of the dependent variable, considering a set of independent variables X_{it} . The scalar coefficient i denotes the quantile- τ fixed effect, either for individual i or the distributional effect at τ . The distributional influence, unlike the habitual fixed effect, does not entail a change in location. As a result, the distributional effect replaces the influence of time-invariant individual traits, which, like other variables, could have different effects on different areas of TRE 's conditional distribution. Minimizing the following optimization yields the τ -th sample quantile estimate shown by $q(\tau)$:

$$\min_q \sum_i \sum_t \rho_\tau(\hat{R}_{it} - (\hat{\delta}_i + Z'_{it}\hat{\gamma})q) \quad (11)$$

The check-function is indicated by $\rho_\tau(A) = (\tau - 1)AI\{A \leq 0\} + \tau AI\{A > 0\}$.

4 Results

Table 2 provides descriptive statistics for the variables analyzed in this study concerning renewable energy determinants across 11 Arab countries from 2007 to 2023.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
TRE	909.3476	1509.412	0	6709
GII	33.55316	7.32226	16.1	60.52
PT	1017.695	1058.546	12	7084
GDPpc	20618.53	19904.93	2540.615	81608.57
EU	5838.429	5221.251	495.4718	21456.07
HDI	0.7858396	0.076754	0.586	0.94
OR	16.85344	15.07444	-5.639278	58.36893
DC	62.713	28.62405	12.13019	144.2723
FDI	3.81e+09	5.49e+09	-2.81e+09	3.07e+10
GE	0.162813	0.5355018	-0.7829369	1.604282

Table 2 presents summary statistics, including mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum, for the dependent variable TRE alongside the independent variables. The descriptive statistics indicate significant variability among the Arab economies, with TRE spanning from 0 to 5,709 (mean 500.35), GDP per capita fluctuating between 2,541 and 81,609 (mean 20,519), and oil rents constituting between 5.6% and 58.6% of GDP (mean 16.9%). The statistics illustrate the varied economic structures and development levels within the sample, indicating that some countries are heavily reliant on oil while others possess more diversified economies. This foundational data justifies the use of MMQR to analyze heterogeneous effects across varying levels of renewable energy adoption.

Before commencing the analytical approach, econometric estimates must address multicollinearity doubts through correlation analysis and the variance inflation factor (VIF).

Table 3. Correlation matrix

	TRE	GII	PT	GDPpc	EU	HDI	OR	DC	FDI
TRE	1.00								
GII	-0.310	1.00							
PT	0.553	-0.130	1.00						
GDPpc	-0.342	0.618	-0.124	1.00					
EU	-0.432	0.578	-0.197	0.942	1.00				
HDI	-0.375	0.457	0.004	0.729	0.789	1.00			
OR	-0.394	0.328	-0.111	0.466	0.533	0.507	1.00		
DC	-0.193	0.289	-0.193	0.316	0.319	0.287	-0.184	1.00	
FDI	0.360	0.171	0.662	0.115	0.023	0.280	0.112	-0.101	1.00
GE	-0.257	0.665	0.0202	0.761	0.683	0.694	0.173	0.488	0.350

Table 3 displays the correlation matrix for all variables utilized in the study. The results demonstrate a significant correlation of 0.942 between EU and GDPpc, suggesting a potential multicollinearity issue that requires further diagnostic evaluation via Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) analysis.

Table 4. VIF for independent variables

Variable	VIF	Tolerance
EU	15.65	0.063903
GDPpc	13.62	0.073423
GE	5.72	0.174854
HDI	4.31	0.231860
FDI	2.82	0.355115
OR	2.23	0.448515
GII	2.15	0.464234
PT	2.13	0.469697
DC	1.70	0.586671

Table 4 presents the VIF analysis for all independent variables in the renewable energy study, serving as a crucial diagnostic tool for detecting multicollinearity issues that could compromise the reliability of regression estimates. The VIF values reveal severe multicollinearity problems, with EU showing the highest VIF of 15.65 and GDPpc displaying a VIF of 13.62, both substantially exceeding the commonly ac-

cepted threshold of 10 that indicates problematic multicollinearity. This finding is consistent with the strong correlation of 0.942 between EU and GDPpc observed in Table 3, suggesting these variables are nearly perfectly correlated and cannot be reliably included together in the regression model. This analysis necessitates the exclusion of the EU variable and a subsequent retest.

Table 5. VIF for independent variables excluding EU

Variable	VIF	Tolerance
GE	5.50	0.181898
GDPpc	3.96	0.252481
HDI	3.13	0.319496
FDI	2.65	0.376655
OR	2.18	0.45774
GII	2.14	0.467965
PT	2.13	0.470346
DC	1.68	0.593996

Table 5 shows that after removing EU, which had a problematic VIF of 15.65, all remaining variables now display VIF values well below the critical threshold of 10, with the highest being GE at 5.50. This diagnostic step was necessary to guarantee the robustness of the MM-QR analysis.

Table 6. The MMQR regression on TRE

	OLS		Quantile of TRE				
	Location	Scale	0.10	0.30	0.50	0.70	0.90
GII	-23.9145* (12.62453)	-11.7175 (8.74915)	-10.1439 (8.350769)	-14.8646* (8.774404)	-22.4074* (11.95343)	-32.9288* (18.1963)	-46.9521* (27.63633)
PT	-0.07905 (0.160607)	-0.003211 (0.111305)	-0.07528 (0.10716)	-0.076574 (0.111957)	-0.078641 (0.150203)	-0.081525 (0.23172)	-0.085369 (0.355312)
GDPpc	-0.03238** (0.01546)	-0.006757 (0.010715)	-0.02444** (0.01028)	-0.02717** (0.010766)	-0.03152** (0.014505)	-0.037587 (0.022294)	-0.045674 (0.034092)
HDI	20592.6*** (3138.66)	1959.59 (2175.185)	18289.7*** (2083.98)	19079.1*** (2184.161)	20340.5*** (2953.115)	22100.1*** (4524.718)	24445.3*** (6903.604)
OR	20.7749*** (7.004531)	4.18057 (4.854337)	15.8618*** (4.662909)	17.546*** (4.879296)	20.237*** (6.581024)	23.991** (10.10669)	28.9942* (15.45014)
DC	-14.159*** (4.208451)	-4.2777 (2.916575)	-9.1327*** (2.774901)	-10.856*** (2.9216)	-13.609*** (3.996753)	-17.45*** (6.061383)	-22.5702** (9.178923)
FDI	2.70e-08 (2.14e-08)	1.14e-08 (1.49e-08)	1.36e-08 (1.42e-08)	1.82e-08 (1.49e-08)	2.55e-08 (2.02e-08)	3.57e-08 (3.09e-08)	4.72e-08 (4.44e-08)
GE	473.798 (303.6979)	324.393 (210.4711)	92.5682 (200.8042)	223.256 (211.0755)	432.074 (288.4069)	723.354* (437.9827)	1111.58* (664.2374)

Cons	-13364*** (2280.525)	-3502.5** (1708.721)	-12765*** (1520.03)	-12970*** (1589.12)	-13299*** (2134.825)	-13756*** (3289.449)	-14367*** (5039.271)
------	-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

Notes: The standard errors in parentheses. The statistical significance levels are as follows: *** at the 0.01 level, ** at the 0.05 level, and * at the 0.10 level.

Table 6 presents the MM-QR results examining the determinants of TRE across five quantiles (0.10, 0.30, 0.50, 0.70, 0.90) in 11 Arab countries from 2007 to 2023, alongside OLS estimates for comparison. The results reveal significant heterogeneity in how various factors influence renewable energy adoption across different levels of the TRE distribution.




Fig. 1. Quantile estimation on TRE

Figure 1 provides a comprehensive graphical representation of the MM-QR results from Table 6, illustrating how the coefficients of eight independent variables affect TRE across different quantiles of the distribution. The figure contains eight panels, each displaying the coefficient trajectory for a specific variable as it varies from the 10th percentile (left side) to the 90th percentile (right side) of the TRE distribution, with the blue shaded areas representing 95% confidence intervals. The varying slopes and confidence interval widths across quantiles validate the MM-QR approach, demonstrating that the determinants of renewable energy adoption have heterogeneous effects depending on a country's position in the TRE distribution, with some variables (like HDI and GII) showing particularly strong quantile-dependent relationships.

Koenker and Bassett (1982) recommend using the Wald test to verify the equality of coefficients across quantiles.

Table 7. Wald test of homogeneity of the coefficients

	0.10				0.30			0.50		0.70
	0.30	0.50	0.70	0.90	0.50	0.70	0.90	0.70	0.90	0.90
GII	1.74 (0.187)	1.75 (0.185)	1.80 (0.179)	1.83 (0.176)	1.68 (0.195)	1.78 (0.181)	1.83 (0.176)	1.72 (0.189)	1.81 (0.178)	1.77 (0.183)
PT	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.705)	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.704)	0.14 (0.705)
GDPpc	0.40 (0.529)	0.40 (0.529)	0.40 (0.527)	0.40 (0.527)	0.39 (0.531)	0.40 (0.528)	0.40 (0.527)	0.39 (0.53)	0.40 (0.527)	0.40 (0.528)
HDI	0.80 (0.37)	0.80 (0.369)	0.81 (0.367)	0.82 (0.365)	0.79 (0.374)	0.81 (0.368)	0.82 (0.365)	0.80 (0.371)	0.82 (0.366)	0.81 (0.368)
OR	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)	0.02 (0.891)
DC	1.70 (0.192)	1.72 (0.189)	1.78 (0.182)	1.79 (0.181)	1.59 (0.206)	1.76 (0.184)	1.78 (0.182)	1.73 (0.187)	1.76 (0.184)	1.64 (0.20)
FDI	1.59 (0.206)	1.60 (0.205)	1.65 (0.199)	1.66 (0.197)	1.48 (0.224)	1.63 (0.202)	1.65 (0.198)	1.61 (0.204)	1.64 (0.20)	1.54 (0.214)
GE	1.95 (0.162)	1.99 (0.158)	2.07 (0.15)	2.08 (0.148)	1.83 (0.176)	2.05 (0.152)	2.07 (0.15)	2.01 (0.156)	2.05 (0.152)	1.88 (0.17)

Koenker and Bassett (1982) recommend using the Wald test to verify the equality of coefficients across quantiles. Table 7 presents the Wald test results for coefficient homogeneity across quantiles, serving as a crucial diagnostic tool to determine whether the estimated coefficients from the MM-QR vary significantly across different quantiles of the RE distribution. The table displays Wald test statistics for pairwise comparisons between quantiles (0.10, 0.30, 0.50, 0.70, 0.90) for each of the eight independent variables (GII, PT, GDPpc, HDI, OR, DC, FDI, GE), with p-values shown in parentheses below each test statistic. The null hypothesis being tested is that the coefficients are equal across quantiles, while the alternative hypothesis suggests heterogeneous effects across the distribution. Examining the p-values reveals that all variables show p-values above 0.05 (ranging from approximately 0.15 to 0.89), indicating that the null hypothesis of coefficient equality cannot be rejected at the 5% significance level for any of the independent variables. This finding suggests that while the point estimates may appear to vary across quantiles in Table 6 and Figure 1, these differences are not statistically significant, implying that the relationships between the explanatory variables and renewable energy adoption are relatively homogeneous across different levels of the TRE distribution. However, this result should be interpreted carefully, as the Wald test's power may be limited by the relatively small sample size (11 countries $\times$ 17 years = 187 observations) and the MM-QR method's wide confidence intervals, which could mask true heterogeneous effects that are economically meaningful but not statistically detectable given the available data.

5 Discussion

The negative and statistically significant effects of the GII on renewable energy adoption across quantiles from 0.30 to 0.90 in Arab countries reflect a complex manifestation of the resource curse hypothesis, where abundant hydrocarbon wealth systematically diverts innovation efforts toward optimizing fossil fuel extraction and processing rather than promoting clean energy technologies (Sun et al., 2024; Topaloglu et al., 2025; Arab et al., 2025; Nchofoung & Ojüng, 2023). This phenomenon aligns with Cirera and Maloney (2017)'s conceptualization of the "innovation paradox," which demonstrates how technological innovation in developing countries can initially obstruct renewable energy deployment due to path dependency and sectoral misallocation of innovative resources. The quantile-specific pattern observed in Arab economies suggests that countries with higher overall innovation rankings paradoxically exhibit lower renewable energy adoption because their elevated GII scores primarily capture innovation within traditional hydrocarbon sectors (Hurnyak et al., 2024; Al-Housani et al., 2024). This structural bias toward fossil fuel innovation is reinforced by the substantial financial returns from oil and gas industries, which create powerful incentives for continued investment in conventional energy technologies rather than renewable alternatives (Espinoza et al., 2022; Droste et al., 2024). The resource curse mechanism operates through multiple channels, including the crowding out of clean technology R&D, the entrenchment of fossil fuel-dependent institutional frameworks, and the systematic under-investment in human capital development for renewable energy sectors (Sun et al., 2024; Topaloglu et al., 2025).

Structural lock-in effects, characterized by entrenched fossil-fuel infrastructures that absorb innovation inputs, further reinforce the negative association between GII and renewable energy adoption across higher quantiles in Arab countries (Topaloglu et al., 2025; Lombard & Ferreira, 2015; Moghadam & Karami, 2024). These path-dependent processes create self-reinforcing cycles where existing hydrocarbon-based innovation systems become increasingly difficult to redirect toward clean energy technologies, as institutional capacity, research networks, and financial mechanisms remain oriented toward traditional energy sectors (Achakulwisut et al., 2023; Fan et al., 2024). The quantile-dependent reversal of innovation's expected positive effect on renewable energy deployment indicates that Arab countries with more developed innovation systems paradoxically face greater challenges in transitioning to clean energy due to deeper structural lock-ins (Hassan et al., 2025; Hurnyak et al., 2024). Addressing this innovation paradox requires comprehensive policy interventions that systematically realign innovation incentives through targeted clean technology R&D funding, enhanced institutional quality measures, and strategic diversification of innovation portfolios away from fossil fuel dependence (Al-Housani et al., 2024; Meng et al., 2022; Hassan et al., 2025). These interventions must include the establishment of dedicated renewable energy innovation zones, the implementation of green technology transfer mechanisms, and the development of regulatory frameworks that encourage private sector investment in clean energy innovation while gradually reducing subsidies for conventional energy technologies (Huang et al., 2024; Hasan et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2025). Successfully overcoming the structural barriers identified in

the quantile regression analysis will require coordinated efforts to break existing path dependencies and create new institutional arrangements that can harness Arab countries' innovation capabilities for sustainable energy transitions (Wan et al., 2022; Meng et al., 2022).

Structural lock-in effects, characterized by entrenched fossil-fuel infrastructures that absorb innovation inputs, reinforce this negative association, as noted by Moghadam and Karami (2024) and Mishra and Acharya (2024). The findings indicate that in resource-rich Arab countries, elevated GII scores likely reflect innovation primarily within traditional hydrocarbon sectors, leading to a quantile-dependent reversal of the anticipated positive effect on renewable energy adoption. Targeted policy interventions are essential to address this paradox by realigning innovation incentives, enhancing institutional quality, and fostering clean-technology R&D. These measures will facilitate innovation's role in supporting the renewable energy.

The HDI emerges as the most robust and consistent driver of renewable energy adoption in Arab countries, exhibiting highly significant positive coefficients at the 1% level across all quantiles (10th to 90th) of the TRE. HDI's composite structure—encompassing education, health, and living standards—creates multiple pathways for facilitating renewable energy deployment, as higher human development not only enhances public awareness and technical skills but also increases institutional and financial capacity to implement and sustain clean energy projects (Jamshid et al., 2022). This bidirectional relationship, where greater human development enables renewable energy adoption and, in turn, renewable energy supports further human development, is well documented in the literature (Azam et al., 2021). Empirical studies confirm that higher educational attainment significantly promotes renewable energy consumption, while improved health and income levels provide the resources and motivation for clean energy transitions (Jamshid et al., 2022; Musakwa & Odhiambo, 2022). Cross-country and regional analyses further highlight that countries with higher HDI not only have greater capacity to invest in and scale up modern renewable technologies but also benefit from a virtuous cycle where clean energy and human development reinforce each other (Pervaiz et al., 2021; Metwally et al., 2024). Thus, the consistent and strong effect of HDI across the entire TRE distribution underscores the foundational role of human development in enabling the energy transition in the Arab region, supporting the view that policies advancing education, healthcare, and living standards yield significant co-benefits for renewable energy expansion.

High human development, as measured by the HDI, fosters robust innovation ecosystems crucial for advancing renewable energy transitions, despite the current study's finding that total patent applications (PT) exhibit statistically insignificant effects across all quantiles in Arab countries. Stronger intellectual property (IP) protection, often found in higher HDI contexts, incentivizes firms to invest in novel renewable energy technologies, driving increased production and deployment (Tee et al., 2021). Moreover, the technical complexity of the renewable energy sector, marked by advancements in robotics, automation, 5G-enabled smart grids, and advanced energy storage, necessitates a highly skilled workforce, which is cultivated by high human development (Iqbal et al., 2019). Parallel advances in digital finance platforms, stem-

ming from innovation, democratize access to capital for clean-tech ventures (Zhao & Zhao, 2023). While patent analyses globally demonstrate the critical role of performance-enhancing and cost-reducing innovations in scaling solar and wind technologies (Bayer et al., 2013), and environmental innovation positively drives renewable energy consumption in the EU and China, where political-institutional quality amplifies the impact of clean-tech innovation (Usman et al., 2022; Azam et al., 2022), the effectiveness of such innovations in the MENA region critically hinges on governance robustness (Awijen et al., 2022). This study suggests that while human development broadly nurtures innovation capacity, the specific translation of patenting activity into tangible renewable energy gains in Arab countries may be hindered by other contextual factors not fully captured by the current model's innovation variables.

Quantile regression analysis uncovers heterogeneous impacts of macroeconomic determinants on renewable energy consumption across its conditional distribution, offering a more nuanced perspective than mean regression approaches (Balcilar et al., 2022). By estimating effects at specific quantiles, one can observe how drivers such as income, resource rents, financial intermediation, and governance quality vary in importance from low to high levels of renewable penetration. In Arab countries, GDPpc exerts a statistically significant negative influence on renewable-energy consumption throughout the lower tail (Q10–Q50). This pattern reflects the well-documented resource dependence of early growth phases, in which fossil fuel extraction and consumption dominate investment and infrastructure spending, thereby crowding out nascent renewable energy projects. In the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), for example, rising per capita income is initially channeled into expanding conventional energy systems rather than green technologies, yielding an inverse GDPpc–renewables nexus at low levels of renewable adoption (Al-Sarihi & Mansouri, 2022; Zheng et al., 2024). By contrast, oil rents (OR) maintain positive and significant coefficients at every quantile of the renewable-energy distribution. Hydrocarbon-derived fiscal revenues furnish governments with stable capital buffers that can be recycled into large-scale solar, wind, and other renewable projects irrespective of baseline penetration. This “rent-recycling” mechanism underlies the consistent stimulative impact of OR on clean-energy consumption across the entire conditional distribution in oil-rich Arab economies (Al-Sarihi & Mansouri, 2022; Bhatt, 2021). Domestic credit (DC) displays a robust negative effect on renewable-energy uptake at all quantiles. Empirical evidence from GCC banking sectors indicates that credit expansion predominantly targets established fossil fuel, real estate, and public sector projects, with minimal spillover to the renewable segment. Consequently, broader access to finance paradoxically suppresses clean-energy investment across the distribution, reflecting sectoral allocation biases in financial intermediation (Elmonshid et al., 2024). Government effectiveness (GE) only attains positive statistical significance at the upper quantiles (Q70, Q90), reflecting the evolving institutional demands of the energy transition: in early phases, renewable uptake is shaped more by hydrocarbon rents and general financial conditions than by nuanced regulatory oversight, so incremental improvements in GE do not yield substantial gains. However, as renewable penetration deepens, further expansion depends on complex regulatory and administrative processes—such as transparent project tendering, grid integration, and en-

forcement of power purchase agreements—that require high institutional quality. At these advanced stages, improvements in policy stability, regulatory clarity, and bureaucratic efficiency remove critical barriers, enabling significant growth in renewables. Empirical evidence from the MENA region and beyond corroborates this threshold effect, showing that strong government effectiveness and governance quality become crucial for scaling renewable energy only once baseline consumption is high and market sophistication increases (Mahajan et al., 2024; Rahman & Sultana, 2024; Rafiq et al., 2024; Weng et al., 2024).

6 Conclusions

This study investigated the complex and often counterintuitive relationship between innovation and renewable energy adoption in Arab countries, employing the MMQR to capture heterogeneous effects across the distribution of renewable energy consumption. Our central finding reveals a significant "innovation paradox" within the region: the Global Innovation Index (GII) exhibits a statistically significant negative impact on renewable energy adoption across the middle and upper quantiles (0.30 to 0.90). This result provides robust empirical support for the resource curse hypothesis, suggesting that in these hydrocarbon-rich economies, innovation is systematically channeled toward optimizing the incumbent fossil fuel industry rather than fostering a transition to clean energy technologies. The structural lock-in created by entrenched hydrocarbon infrastructure and economic dependencies appears to absorb innovation capacity, paradoxically slowing the energy transition even as overall innovation metrics improve.

Our analysis further reveals a nuanced landscape of determinants shaping the region's energy future. While aggregate innovation indicators like the GII and patent applications show a negative or insignificant relationship with renewable energy, human development emerges as the most powerful and consistent driver of progress. The Human Development Index (HDI) was found to have a highly significant positive effect across all quantiles, under-scoring those investments in education, health, and living standards create the foundational capacity, public demand, and technical skills necessary for a sustainable energy transition. Furthermore, our findings indicate that Arab countries are effectively engaging in rent-recycling, as oil rents positively and significantly correlate with renewable energy adoption across the entire distribution, demonstrating that fiscal revenues from hydrocarbons are being used to finance clean energy projects. Conversely, domestic credit was found to have a consistently negative impact, reflecting a financial system that continues to prioritize traditional sectors over green investments.

The quantile regression approach also highlighted the evolving role of governance. Government effectiveness only becomes a significant positive driver at the upper quantiles (0.70 and 0.90), indicating that strong institutional quality is most critical for navigating the complexities of advanced renewable energy integration, such as grid management and sophisticated regulatory frameworks. At lower levels of adoption, financial and resource-based factors are more dominant. This threshold effect pro-

vides a critical insight for policy-makers: as countries advance their energy transition, the need for robust, transparent, and efficient governance becomes paramount to sustaining momentum.

Based on these findings, this paper offers several key policy recommendations. First, Arab nations must move beyond general innovation enhancement and implement targeted industrial and innovation policies that explicitly favor green technologies. This requires creating specific incentives, R&D funding channels, and technology transfer mechanisms to break the structural lock-in of the fossil fuel sector. Second, policymakers should recognize human development as a core pillar of their energy transition strategy, as it fosters the most reliable and broad-based support for renewable energy adoption. Third, financial sector reforms are needed to redirect credit flows toward clean energy projects, potentially through green bonds and dedicated credit lines. Finally, a continuous focus on improving government effectiveness is essential, as institutional capacity will become an increasingly important bottleneck to overcome as renewable energy systems mature.

This study contributes to the literature by providing the first quantile-based empirical evidence of the innovation paradox in the Arab world. However, its limitations point toward avenues for future research. The statistical insignificance of the Wald test for coefficient equality—likely due to the relatively small sample size—suggests that while the observed quantile patterns are economically meaningful, they warrant further investigation with larger datasets. Future studies should also utilize more granular, sector-specific data on green innovation to move beyond aggregate indices like the GII. Firm-level or project-level analyses could further illuminate the micro-foundations of the innovation paradox and help design more effective policies to ensure that the innovation capabilities of Arab nations are fully harnessed to build a sustainable and prosperous energy future.

References

1. Abbruzzese, M., Infante, D., & Smirnova, J. (2025). The diffusion of renewable energy production in European countries: the role of incentives. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 34(1), 70–93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2024.2304580>
2. Achakulwisut, P., Erickson, P., Guivarch, C., Schaeffer, R., Brutschin, E., & Pye, S. (2023). Global fossil fuel reduction pathways under different climate mitigation strategies and ambitions. *Nature Communications*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41105-z>
3. Adebayo, T. S., Akadiri, S. S., Akanni, E. O., & Sadiq-Bamgbopa, Y. (2022). Does political risk drive environmental degradation in BRICS countries? Evidence from method of moments quantile regression. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(21), 32287–32297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-20002-w>
4. Alhashim, M., Rehman, M. Z., Ansari, S., & Ahmed, P. (2024). Examining the Influence of Renewable Energy Consumption, Technological Innovation, and Export Diversification on Economic Growth: Empirical Insights from E-7 Nations. *Sustainability*, 16(21), 9159. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219159>

5. Al-Housani, M. I., Al-Sada, M. S., & Koç, M. (2024). Innovation Ecosystem for Resource-Rich Countries: Validation of Entrepreneurship Framework for Qatar as a case. *Sustainability*, 16(7), 2940. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072940>
6. Ali, A., Alsulaiman, F. A., Irshad, K., Shafiullah, M., Malik, S. A., & Memon, A. H. (2021, March 16). Renewable Portfolio Standard from the Perspective of Policy Network Theory for Saudi Arabia Vision 2030 Targets. In *IEEE Xplore*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icece51984.2021.9406286>
7. Al-Ismail, F. S., Alam, M. S., Shafiullah, M., Hossain, M. I., & Rahman, S. M. (2023). Impacts of renewable energy generation on greenhouse gas emissions in Saudi Arabia: A Comprehensive review. *Sustainability*, 15(6), 5069. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15065069>
8. Almutairi, A., & Alhamed, Y. (2025). Sustainability and grid reliability of renewable energy expansion projects in Saudi Arabia by 2030. *Sustainability*, 17(10), 4493. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17104493>
9. Alouani, H. E., & Driouchi, A. (2014). The oil and gas sectors, renewable energy, and environmental performance in the Arab world. In *Knowledge-Based Economic Policy Development in the Arab World* (pp. 172–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-5210-1.ch008>
10. Al-Sarihi, A., & Mansouri, N. (2022). Renewable Energy Development in the Gulf Cooperation Council Countries: status, barriers, and policy options. *Energies*, 15(5), 1923. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15051923>
11. Arab, A. M. A., Kareem, P. H., & Işıktas, S. (2025). Driving Sustainable Energy Goals: testing the impact of investment, technological innovations, and oil rent on renewable energy development in Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa economies. *Sustainability*, 17(7), 3143. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17073143>
12. Awijen, H., Belaïd, F., Zaied, Y. B., Hussain, N., & Lahouel, B. B. (2022). Renewable energy deployment in the MENA region: Does innovation matter? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 179, 121633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121633>
13. Azam, A., Rafiq, M., Shafique, M., & Yuan, J. (2022). Mitigating carbon emissions in China: The role of clean energy, technological innovation, and Political-Institutional Quality. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.814439>
14. Azam, A., Rafiq, M., Shafique, M., Yuan, J., & Salem, S. (2021). Human Development Index, ICT, and Renewable Energy-Growth Nexus for Sustainable Development: A Novel PVAR analysis. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2021.760758>
15. Balcilar, M., Usman, O., & Ike, G. N. (2022). Investing green for sustainable development without ditching economic growth. *Sustainable Development*, 31(2), 728–743. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2415>
16. Bayer, P., Dolan, L., & Urpelainen, J. (2013). Global patterns of renewable energy innovation, 1990–2009. *Energy Sustainable Development/Energy for Sustainable Development*, 17(3), 288–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2013.02.003>
17. Bhatt, Y. (2021). Renewable energy deployment to stimulate energy transition in the Gulf Cooperation Council. In *Renewable Energy Transition in Asia* (pp. 161–183). Springer International Publishing; Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8905-8_8
18. Bigerna, S., D’Errico, M. C., Polinori, P., & Simshauser, P. (2024). Net-Zero Policy vs Energy Security: The Impact on GCC Countries. *The Energy Journal*, 45(1_suppl), 133–164. <https://doi.org/10.5547/01956574.45.si1.sbig>
19. Brauholtz-Speight, T., Sharmina, M., Manderson, E., McLachlan, C., Hannon, M., Hardy, J., & Mander, S. (2020). Business models and financial characteristics of community

- energy in the UK. *Nature Energy*, 5(2), 169–177. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0546-4>
20. Bridi, R. M., & Alhosani, N. (2021, February 2). Theoretical reflections on consumer behavior: the adoption and non-adoption of electric vehicles. In *IEEE Xplore*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icrega50506.2021.9388315>
 21. Canay, I. A. (2011). A simple approach to quantile regression for panel data. *Econometrics Journal*, 14(3), 368–386. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1368-423x.2011.00349.x>
 22. Cirera, X., & Maloney, W. F. (2017). *The innovation paradox: Developing-Country capabilities and the unrealized promise of technological Catch-Up*. Washington, DC: World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1160-9>
 23. Danish, M., & Isaifan, R. J. (2024). Strategic plans to support action against climate change in the Arab countries in the Middle East Region. *Journal of Sustainable Development Law and Policy (The)*, 15(1), 304–335. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jsdlp.v15i1.11>
 24. Droste, N., Chatterton, B., & Skovgaard, J. (2024). A political economy theory of fossil fuel subsidy reforms in OECD countries. *Nature Communications*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49835-4>
 25. Dzwigol, H., Kwilinski, A., Lyulyov, O., & Pimonenko, T. (2023). Renewable Energy, knowledge Spillover and Innovation: Capacity of environmental Regulation. *Energies*, 16(3), 1117. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16031117>
 26. Elmonshid, L. B. E., Sayed, O. A., Yousif, G. M. A., Eldaw, K. E. H. I., & Hussein, M. A. (2024). The impact of financial efficiency and renewable energy consumption on CO2 emission reduction in GCC economies: A panel Data quantile Regression approach. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 6242. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16146242>
 27. Espinoza, V., Fontalvo, J., Ramírez, P., Martí-Herrero, J., & Mediavilla, M. (2022). Energy transition scenarios for fossil fuel rich developing countries under constraints on oil availability: the case of Ecuador. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4208691>
 28. Fan, S., Hui, L., Nassani, A. A., Imran, M., Naseem, I., & Zaman, K. (2024). Illuminating the path to decarbonization: Nuclear energy consumption, clean energy production, and sustainable fuel production for long-term development. *Energy & Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305x241270229>
 29. Girón, A. (2025). China's global energy projects and finance. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 59(2), 550–561. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.2025.2493566>
 30. Hasan, A. A., Hanieh, A. A., & Juaidi, A. (2024). Energy storage: Status and future perspective in Arab countries. *Energy Storage*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/est2.550>
 31. Hassan, A., Ibrahim, R. L., Raimi, L., Omokanmi, O. J., & Senathirajah, A. R. B. S. (2025). Balancing growth and sustainability: Can green innovation curb the ecological impact of Resource-Rich economies? *Sustainability*, 17(10), 4579. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17104579>
 32. Huang, C., Wang, C., Rani, T., & Rehman, S. a. U. (2024). Digitalization's role in shaping climate change, renewable energy, and technological innovation for achieving sustainable development in top Asian countries. *Energy & Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305x241258799>
 33. Hurnyak, I., Hrytsyshyn, A., Kuzenko, N., Moskalyk, L., Tsapko-Piddubna, O., & Yemel'yanova, L. (2024). Transformational Processes in Resource-Rich countries: From Natural Resources to Innovation and Technology-Based Economy. *Financial and Credit Activity Problems of Theory and Practice*, 2(55), 317–332. <https://doi.org/10.55643/fcaptp.2.55.2024.4329>

34. Iqbal, J., Al-Zahrani, A., Alharbi, S. A., & Hashmi, A. (2019). Robotics inspired renewable Energy developments: Prospective opportunities and challenges. *IEEE Access*, 7, 174898–174923. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2957013>
35. Jamshid, J., Villanthenkodath, M. A., & Velan, N. (2022). Can educational attainment promote renewable energy consumption? Evidence from heterogeneous panel models. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 16(6), 1017–1036. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijesm-06-2021-0015>
36. Jiang, Y., Hossain, M. R., Khan, Z., Chen, J., & Badeeb, R. A. (2023). Revisiting Research and Development Expenditures and Trade Adjusted Emissions: Green Innovation and Renewable Energy R&D Role for Developed Countries. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 15(1), 2156–2191. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01220-0>
37. Jiang, Z., Shi, J., & Liu, Z. (2025). Digitalization and productivity in the Chinese wind power industry: the serial mediating role of reconfiguration capability and technological innovation. *Business Process Management Journal*, 31(1), 26–53. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bpmj-12-2023-0943>
38. Khan, K., & Su, C. W. (2023). Does technology innovation complement the renewable energy transition? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(11), 30144–30154. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-24336-3>
39. Koenker, R. (2004). Quantile regression for longitudinal data. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 91(1), 74–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmva.2004.05.006>
40. Koenker, R., & Bassett, G. (1982). Robust tests for heteroscedasticity based on regression quantiles. *Econometrica*, 50(1), 43–61. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1912528>
41. Lombard, A., & Ferreira, S. L. (2015). The spatial distribution of renewable energy infrastructure in three particular provinces of South Africa. *Bulletin of Geography Socio-economic Series*, 30(30), 71–86. <https://doi.org/10.1515/bog-2015-0036>
42. Machado, J. A., & Silva, J. S. (2019). Quantiles via moments. *Journal of Econometrics*, 213(1), 145–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2019.04.009>
43. Mahajan, R., Kumar, S., Lim, W. M., & Sareen, M. (2024). The role of business and management in driving the sustainable development goals (SDGs): Current insights and future directions from a systematic review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33(5), 4493–4529. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3712>
44. Meng, X., Li, T., Ahmad, M., Qiao, G., & Bai, Y. (2022). Capital formation, green innovation, renewable energy consumption and environmental quality: Do environmental regulations matter? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(20), 13562. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013562>
45. Metwally, A. B. M., Nabil, S. M., & Yasser, M. M. (2024). Hydropower & HDI Nexus in Nordic Countries Using VAR Techniques. *Economies*, 12(3), 60. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies12030060>
46. Miao, M., Borojo, D. G., & Yushi, J. (2024). Does climate finance really affect ecological quality in developing countries? Fresh evidence from the method of Moments Quantile Regression approach. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.3071>
47. Moghadam, H. E., & Karami, A. (2024). Does energy innovation heterogeneously affect renewable energy production? *Discover Sustainability*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00377-1>
48. Mohammed, M. G. A., Abdel-Gadir, S. E. M., Alsulami, F., Mannai, S., Arfaoui, L., Alharbi, K., Qassim, A. A., & Alsafy, M. M. (2024). Exploring the effects of renewable energy, energy consumption, and industrial growth on Saudi Arabia's environmental foot-

- print: an Autoregressive Distributed Lag analysis. *Energies*, 17(24), 6327. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17246327>
49. Muñiz, R., Del Coso, R., Nuño, F., Villegas, P. J., Álvarez, D., & Martínez, J. A. (2024). Solar-Powered Smart Buildings: Integrated energy management solution for IoT-Enabled sustainability. *Electronics*, 13(2), 317. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13020317>
 50. Musakwa, M. T., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2022). Energy Consumption and Human Development in South Africa: Empirical Evidence from Disaggregated Data. *Studia Universitatis „Vasile Goldis” Arad – Economics Series*, 32(2), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.2478/sues-2022-0006>
 51. Najam, H. (2023). RETRACTED: Optimization of renewable energy supply for a carbon neutral society: Role of environmental regulations, sustainable finance, and financial innovation through the lens of game theory. *Geological Journal*, 58(9), 3466–3475. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.4746>
 52. Nchofoung, T. N., & Ojong, N. (2023). Natural resources, renewable energy, and governance: A path towards sustainable development. *Sustainable Development*, 31(3), 1553–1569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2466>
 53. Nicolini, M., & Porcheri, S. (2014). The energy sector in Mediterranean and MENA countries. In *The Economic and Political Aftermath of the Arab Spring*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781782540915.00011>
 54. Noseleit, F. (2018). Renewable energy innovations and sustainability transition: How relevant are spatial spillovers? *Journal of Regional Science*, 58(1), 259–275. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jors.12340>
 55. Ogunsola, A. J., & Zwane, T. T. (2025). Energy efficiency policies and industrial growth: unlocking West Africa's production potential. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 15(2), 441–451. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijee.18138>
 56. Olawuyi, D. S. (2022). Low carbon energy transition, green growth, and the future of environmental law in Arab States. In *Environmental Law in Arab States* (pp. 327–350). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192896186.003.0013>
 57. Peng, M., Tan, L., Li, H., Wu, J., Ma, T., Xu, H., Xu, J., Zhao, W., & Hao, J. (2023). Energy transitions in Yunnan Province based on production function theory. *Energies*, 16(21), 7299. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16217299>
 58. Pervaiz, R., Faisal, F., Rahman, S. U., Chander, R., & Ali, A. (2021). Do health expenditure and human development index matter in the carbon emission function for ensuring sustainable development? Evidence from the heterogeneous panel. *Air Quality Atmosphere & Health*, 14(11), 1773–1784. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-021-01052-4>
 59. Radulescu, M., Balsalobre-Lorente, D., Joof, F., Samour, A., & Türsoy, T. (2022). Exploring the Impacts of Banking Development, and Renewable Energy on Ecological Footprint in OECD: New Evidence from Method of Moments Quantile Regression. *Energies*, 15(24), 9290. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15249290>
 60. Rafiq, S., Paramati, S. R., Alam, M. S., Hafeez, K., & Shafiullah, M. (2024). Does institutional quality matter for renewable energy promotion inOECDeconomies? *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 30(1), 477–492. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2926>
 61. Rahman, M. M., & Sultana, N. (2024). Nexus of human development and environmental quality in Low-Income and Developing countries: Do renewable energy and good governance matter? *Sustainability*, 16(13), 5382. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135382>
 62. Rastegar, H., Eweje, G., & Sajjad, A. (2024). The impact of environmental policy on renewable energy innovation: A systematic literature review and research directions. *Sustainable Development*, 32(4), 3859–3876. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2884>

63. Strielkowski, W. (2024). Innovations in the energy sector as a powerful catalyst for financial transformations. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 15(1), 131–142. <https://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2024.1-11>
64. Sun, H., Yan, Y., & Han, Y. (2024). The influencing factors of green technology innovation in renewable energy companies based on hyper-network. *Energy Informatics*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42162-024-00361-z>
65. Sun, S., Meng, F., Nawaz, M. A., & Hanif, S. (2024). A re-assessment of the Resource Curse Hypothesis in top resource-rich developing countries: Fresh insights using method of moments quantile regression. *Natural Resources Forum*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.12486>
66. Tee, W., Chin, L., & Abdul-Rahim, A. S. (2021). Determinants of renewable energy production: Do intellectual property rights matter? *Energies*, 14(18), 5707. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14185707>
67. Topaloglu, E. E., Balsalobre-Lorente, D., Nur, T., & Ege, I. (2025). The relevance of financial development, natural resources, technological innovation, and human development for carbon and ecological footprints: Fresh Evidence of the Resource Curse hypothesis in G-10 Countries. *Sustainability*, 17(6), 2487. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17062487>
68. Urban, B., & Heydenrych, J. (2015). Technology Orientation and Effectuation – Links to Firm Performance in the Renewable Energy Sector of South Africa. *The South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 26(3), 125–136. <https://doi.org/10.7166/26-3-1039>
69. Usman, M., Radulescu, M., Balsalobre-Lorente, D., & Rehman, A. (2022). Investigation on the causality relationship between environmental innovation and energy consumption: Empirical evidence from EU countries. *Energy & Environment*, 34(8), 3130–3159. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305x221120931>
70. Van Der Waal, E. C., Van Der Windt, H. J., & Van Oost, E. C. J. (2018). How local energy initiatives develop technological Innovations: Growing an actor network. *Sustainability*, 10(12), 4577. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124577>
71. Vurur, N. S., Özdemir, L., Özen, E., & Grima, S. (2024). The impact of stock prices of polluting energy sources on renewable energy stock index prices. *Folia Oeconomica Stetinensia*, 24(2), 344–370. <https://doi.org/10.2478/fofi-2024-0029>
72. Wan, B., Wan, W., Hanif, N., & Ahmed, Z. (2022). Logistics performance and environmental sustainability: Do green innovation, renewable energy, and economic globalization matter? *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.996341>
73. Wang, H., Jin, S., & Chang, C. (2025). Does artificial Intelligence bring to renewable Energy Innovation? Yes, empirical investigation for 51 countries? *International Journal of Green Energy*, 22(2), 375–390. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2024.2414916>
74. Wanless, L., Seifried, C., & Kellison, T. (2023). Renewable energy source diffusion in professional sport facilities. *Journal of Sport Management*, 38(1), 40–52. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.2023-0081>
75. Wei, S., Jiandong, W., & Saleem, H. (2023). The impact of renewable energy transition, green growth, green trade and green innovation on environmental quality: Evidence from top 10 green future countries. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1076859>
76. Weng, F., Cheng, D., Zhuang, M., Lu, X., & Yang, C. (2024). The effects of governance quality on renewable and nonrenewable energy consumption: An explainable decision frame. *Journal of Forecasting*, 43(6), 2146–2162. <https://doi.org/10.1002/for.3110>

77. Zhao, X., & Zhao, J. (2023). Digital finance and inequality in renewable energy technology innovation. *Energy & Environment*, 35(7), 3673–3702. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305x231171352>
78. Zheng, X., Mousa, S., Nawi, H. M., Nawaz, M. A., & Hanif, S. (2024). Does resource richness help in uplifting the economic status of resource-abundant developing countries? Evidence from Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries using method of moments quantile regression. *Natural Resources Forum*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.12429>